


# Particle Physics

Dr. Alexander Mitov



## Handout 9 : The Weak Interaction and V-A

# Parity

- ★ The parity operator performs spatial inversion through the origin:

$$\psi'(\vec{x}, t) = \hat{P}\psi(\vec{x}, t) = \psi(-\vec{x}, t)$$

- applying  $\hat{P}$  twice:  $\hat{P}\hat{P}\psi(\vec{x}, t) = \hat{P}\psi(-\vec{x}, t) = \psi(\vec{x}, t)$

$$\text{so} \quad \hat{P}\hat{P} = I \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{P}^{-1} = \hat{P}$$

- To preserve the normalisation of the wave-function

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{P}^\dagger \hat{P} | \psi \rangle$$

$$\hat{P}^\dagger \hat{P} = I \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{P} \quad \text{Unitary}$$

- But since  $\hat{P}\hat{P} = I \quad \hat{P} = \hat{P}^\dagger \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{P} \quad \text{Hermitian}$

which implies Parity is an **observable** quantity. If the interaction Hamiltonian commutes with  $\hat{P}$ , parity is an **observable** conserved quantity

- If  $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$  is an eigenfunction of the parity operator with eigenvalue  $P$

$$\hat{P}\psi(\vec{x}, t) = P\psi(\vec{x}, t) \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{P}\hat{P}\psi(\vec{x}, t) = P\hat{P}\psi(\vec{x}, t) = P^2\psi(\vec{x}, t)$$

$$\text{since } \hat{P}\hat{P} = I \quad P^2 = 1$$

$\rightarrow$  Parity has eigenvalues  $P = \pm 1$

- ★ QED and QCD are invariant under parity

- ★ Experimentally observe that **Weak Interactions** do not conserve parity

## Intrinsic Parities of fundamental particles:

### Spin-1 Bosons

- From Gauge Field Theory can show that the gauge bosons have  $P = -1$

$$P_\gamma = P_g = P_{W^+} = P_{W^-} = P_Z = -1$$

### Spin-1/2 Fermions

- From the Dirac equation showed (handout 2):

Spin 1/2 **particles** have opposite parity to spin 1/2 **anti-particles**

- Conventional choice: spin 1/2 particles have  $P = +1$

$$P_{e^-} = P_{\mu^-} = P_{\tau^-} = P_\nu = P_q = +1$$

and anti-particles have opposite parity, i.e.

$$P_{e^+} = P_{\mu^+} = P_{\tau^+} = P_{\bar{\nu}} = P_{\bar{q}} = -1$$

- ★ For Dirac spinors it was shown (handout 2) that the parity operator is:

$$\hat{P} = \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Parity Conservation in QED and QCD


- Consider the QED process  $e^-q \rightarrow e^-q$
- The Feynman rules for QED give:

$$-iM \propto [\bar{u}_e(p_3)ie\gamma^\mu u_e(p_1)] \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2} [\bar{u}_q(p_4)ie\gamma^\nu u_q(p_2)]$$

- Which can be expressed in terms of the electron and quark 4-vector currents:

$$M \propto -\frac{e^2}{q^2} g_{\mu\nu} j_e^\mu j_q^\nu = -\frac{e^2}{q^2} j_e \cdot j_q$$

with  $j_e = \bar{u}_e(p_3)\gamma^\mu u_e(p_1)$  and  $j_q = \bar{u}_q(p_4)\gamma^\mu u_q(p_2)$



- ★ Consider the what happen to the matrix element under the parity transformation

- ◆ Spinors transform as

$$u \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \hat{P}u = \gamma^0 u$$

- ◆ Adjoint spinors transform as

$$\bar{u} = u^\dagger \gamma^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} (\hat{P}u)^\dagger \gamma^0 = u^\dagger \gamma^{0\dagger} \gamma^0 = u^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^0 = \bar{u} \gamma^0$$

$$\bar{u} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \bar{u} \gamma^0$$

- ◆ Hence  $j_e = \bar{u}_e(p_3)\gamma^\mu u_e(p_1) \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \bar{u}_e(p_3)\gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 u_e(p_1)$

★ Consider the components of the four-vector current

**0:**  $j_e^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \bar{u} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0 u = \bar{u} \gamma^0 u = j_e^0$  since  $\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = 1$

**k=1,2,3:**  $j_e^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \bar{u} \gamma^0 \gamma^k \gamma^0 u = -\bar{u} \gamma^k \gamma^0 \gamma^0 u = -\bar{u} \gamma^k u = -j_e^k$  since  $\gamma^0 \gamma^k = -\gamma^k \gamma^0$

- The time-like component remains unchanged and the space-like components change sign

• Similarly  $j_q^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} j_q^0$        $j_q^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -j_q^k$      $k = 1, 2, 3$

★ Consequently the four-vector scalar product

$$j_e \cdot j_q = j_e^0 j_q^0 - j_e^k j_q^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} j_e^0 j_q^0 - (-j_e^k)(-j_q^k) = j_e \cdot j_q \quad k = 1, 3$$

or  $j^\mu \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} j_\mu$   
 $j^\mu \cdot j^\nu \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} j_\mu \cdot j_\nu$   
 $\xrightarrow{\hat{P}} j^\mu \cdot j^\nu$

**QED Matrix Elements are Parity Invariant**

➔ **Parity Conserved in QED**

★ The QCD vertex has the same form and, thus,

**Parity Conserved in QCD**

# Parity Violation in $\beta$ -Decay

★ The parity operator  $\hat{P}$  corresponds to a discrete transformation  $x \rightarrow -x$ , etc.

★ Under the parity transformation:


$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Vectors} \\ \text{change sign} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{r} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -\vec{r} \\ \vec{p} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -\vec{p} \end{array} \right. \quad (p_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \text{ etc.})$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Axial-Vectors} \\ \text{unchanged} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{L} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \vec{L} \\ \vec{\mu} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \vec{\mu} \end{array} \right. \quad (\vec{L} = \vec{r} \wedge \vec{p})$$


$$(\vec{\mu} \propto \vec{L})$$

Note **B** is an axial vector  
 $d\vec{B} \propto \vec{J} \wedge \vec{r} d^3\vec{r}$

★ 1957: C.S.Wu et al. studied beta decay of polarized cobalt-60 nuclei:



★ Observed **electrons emitted preferentially** in direction opposite to applied field



If parity were conserved: expect equal rate for producing  $e^-$  in directions along and opposite to the nuclear spin.

★ Conclude **parity is violated** in WEAK INTERACTION

→ that the WEAK interaction vertex is **NOT** of the form  $\bar{u}_e \gamma^\mu u_\nu$

# Bilinear Covariants

- ★ The requirement of Lorentz invariance of the matrix element severely restricts the form of the interaction vertex. QED and QCD are “**VECTOR**” interactions:

$$j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \phi$$


- ★ This combination transforms as a 4-vector (Handout 2 appendix V)
- ★ In general, there are only 5 possible combinations of two spinors and the gamma matrices that form Lorentz covariant currents, called “bilinear covariants”:

Type	Form	Components	“Boson Spin”
◆ <b>SCALAR</b>	$\bar{\psi} \phi$	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
◆ <b>PSEUDOSCALAR</b>	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \phi$	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
◆ <b>VECTOR</b>	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \phi$	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
◆ <b>AXIAL VECTOR</b>	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \phi$	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>
◆ <b>TENSOR</b>	$\bar{\psi} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \phi$	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>

- ★ Note that in total the sixteen components correspond to the 16 elements of a general 4x4 matrix: “decomposition into Lorentz covariant combinations”
- ★ In QED the factor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  arose from the sum over polarization states of the virtual photon (2 transverse + 1 longitudinal, 1 scalar) =  $(2J+1) + 1$
- ★ Associate **SCALAR** and **PSEUDOSCALAR** interactions with the exchange of a **SPIN-0** boson, etc. – no spin degrees of freedom

# V-A Structure of the Weak Interaction

- ★ The most general form for the interaction between a fermion and a boson is a linear combination of bilinear covariants
- ★ For an interaction corresponding to the exchange of a spin-1 particle the most general form is a linear combination of **VECTOR** and **AXIAL-VECTOR**
- ★ The form for **WEAK** interaction is determined from experiment to be **VECTOR – AXIAL-VECTOR (V – A)**



$$j^\mu \propto \bar{u}_{\nu_e} (\gamma^\mu - \gamma^\mu \gamma^5) u_e$$

**V – A**

- ★ Can this account for parity violation?
- ★ First consider parity transformation of a pure **AXIAL-VECTOR** current

$$j_A = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \phi \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma^5 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3; \quad \gamma^5 \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^5$$

$$j_A = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \phi \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \gamma^0 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi$$

$$j_A^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = -j_A^0$$

$$j_A^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^k \gamma^0 \gamma^5 \phi = +\bar{\psi} \gamma^k \gamma^5 \phi = +j_A^k \quad k = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\text{or} \quad j_A^\mu \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -j_{A\mu}$$



- The space-like components remain unchanged and the time-like components change sign (the opposite to the parity properties of a vector-current)

$$j_A^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -j_A^0; \quad j_A^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} +j_A^k; \quad j_V^0 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} +j_V^0; \quad j_V^k \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} -j_V^k$$

- Now consider the matrix elements

$$M \propto g_{\mu\nu} j_1^\mu j_2^\nu = j_1^0 j_2^0 - \sum_{k=1,3} j_1^k j_2^k$$

- For the combination of a two axial-vector currents

$$j_{A1} \cdot j_{A2} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} (-j_1^0)(-j_2^0) - \sum_{k=1,3} (j_1^k)(j_2^k) = j_{A1} \cdot j_{A2}$$


- Consequently parity is conserved for both a pure vector and pure axial-vector interactions

- However the combination of a vector current and an axial vector current

$$j_{V1} \cdot j_{A2} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} (j_1^0)(-j_2^0) - \sum_{k=1,3} (-j_1^k)(j_2^k) = -j_{V1} \cdot j_{A2}$$

changes sign under parity – can give parity violation !

- ★ Now consider a general linear combination of VECTOR and AXIAL-VECTOR (note this is relevant for the Z-boson vertex)



$$M_{fi} \propto j_1 \cdot j_2 = g_V^2 j_1^V \cdot j_2^V + g_A^2 j_1^A \cdot j_2^A + g_V g_A (j_1^V \cdot j_2^A + j_1^A \cdot j_2^V)$$

- Consider the parity transformation of this scalar product

$$j_1 \cdot j_2 \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} g_V^2 j_1^V \cdot j_2^V + g_A^2 j_1^A \cdot j_2^A - g_V g_A (j_1^V \cdot j_2^A + j_1^A \cdot j_2^V)$$

- If either  $g_A$  or  $g_V$  is zero, Parity is conserved, i.e. parity conserved in a pure VECTOR or pure AXIAL-VECTOR interaction

- Relative strength of parity violating part  $\propto \frac{g_V g_A}{g_V^2 + g_A^2}$

**Maximal Parity Violation for V-A (or V+A)**

# Chiral Structure of QED (Reminder)

- ★ Recall (Handout 4) introduced **CHIRAL** projections operators

$$P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^5); \quad P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)$$

project out **chiral** right- and left- handed states

- ★ In the ultra-relativistic limit, **chiral states** correspond to **helicity states**
- ★ Any spinor can be expressed as:


$$\psi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^5)\psi + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)\psi = P_R\psi + P_L\psi = \psi_R + \psi_L$$

- **The QED vertex**  $\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\phi$  in terms of chiral states:


$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\phi = \bar{\psi}_R\gamma^\mu\phi_R + \bar{\psi}_R\gamma^\mu\phi_L + \bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu\phi_R + \bar{\psi}_L\gamma^\mu\phi_L$$

conserves chirality, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}_R\gamma^\mu\phi_L &= \frac{1}{2}\psi^\dagger(1 + \gamma^5)\gamma^0\gamma^\mu\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\psi^\dagger\gamma^0(1 - \gamma^5)\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma^5)\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(1 + \gamma^5)(1 - \gamma^5)\phi = 0 \end{aligned}$$




- ★ In the ultra-relativistic limit only two helicity combinations are non-zero



# Chiral and Helicity Structure of the Weak Interaction

★ The charged current ( $W^\pm$ ) weak vertex is:

$$\frac{-ig_w}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5)$$




★ Since  $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)$  projects out left-handed **chiral** particle states:

$$\bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \phi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \phi_L \quad \text{(question 16)}$$

★ Writing  $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}_R + \bar{\psi}_L$  and from discussion of QED,  $\bar{\psi}_R \gamma^\mu \phi_L = 0$  gives

$$\bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \phi = \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \phi_L$$



Only the **left-handed chiral** components of **particle** spinors and **right-handed chiral** components of **anti-particle** spinors participate in charged current weak interactions


★ At very high energy ( $E \gg m$ ), the **left-handed chiral** components are helicity eigenstates :

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)u \Rightarrow \text{blue arrow pointing right, red arrow pointing left}$$

**LEFT-HANDED PARTICLES**  
Helicity = -1


$$\frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5)v \Rightarrow \text{blue arrow pointing right, red arrow pointing right}$$

**RIGHT-HANDED ANTI-PARTICLES**  
Helicity = +1




In the ultra-relativistic limit only **left-handed particles and right-handed antiparticles** participate in charged current weak interactions

e.g. In the relativistic limit, the only possible electron – neutrino interactions are:



★ The helicity dependence of the weak interaction  $\longleftrightarrow$  parity violation

e.g.  $\bar{\nu}_e + e^- \rightarrow W^-$





**Valid weak interaction**

**Does not occur**


# Helicity in Pion Decay

- ★ The decays of charged pions provide a good demonstration of the role of helicity in the weak interaction



**EXPERIMENTALLY:** 
$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} = 1.23 \times 10^{-4}$$

- Might expect the decay to electrons to dominate – due to increased phase space.... The opposite happens, the electron decay is helicity suppressed
- ★ Consider decay in pion rest frame.
  - Pion is spin zero: so the spins of the  $\bar{\nu}$  and  $\mu$  are opposite
  - Weak interaction only couples to **RH chiral** anti-particle states. Since neutrinos are (almost) massless, must be in **RH Helicity** state
  - Therefore, to conserve angular mom. muon is emitted in a **RH HELICITY** state



- But only **left-handed CHIRAL particle states** participate in weak interaction

★ The general **right-handed helicity** solution to the Dirac equation is

$$u_{\uparrow} = N \begin{pmatrix} c \\ e^{i\phi} s \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} c \\ \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} e^{i\phi} s \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } c = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \text{ and } s = \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$$

- project out the **left-handed chiral** part of the wave-function using

$$P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma^5) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

giving

$$P_L u_{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2} N \left( 1 - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ e^{i\phi} s \\ -c \\ -e^{i\phi} s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) u_L$$

In the limit  $m \ll E$  this tends to zero

- similarly


$$P_R u_{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2} N \left( 1 + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) \begin{pmatrix} c \\ e^{i\phi} s \\ c \\ e^{i\phi} s \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) u_R$$

In the limit  $m \ll E$  ,  $P_R u_{\uparrow} \rightarrow u_R$

★ Hence 
$$u_{\uparrow} = P_R u_{\uparrow} + P_L u_{\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) u_R + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) u_L$$

**RH Helicity**
**RH Chiral**
**LH Chiral**

- In the limit  $E \gg m$ , as expected, the RH chiral and helicity states are identical
- Although only LH chiral particles participate in the weak interaction the contribution from RH **Helicity** states is not necessarily zero !



- ★ Expect matrix element to be proportional to **LH chiral component of RH Helicity electron/muon spinor**

$$M_{fi} \propto \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E+m} \right) = \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\pi} + m_{\mu}}$$

from the kinematics of pion decay at rest

- ★ Hence because the electron mass is much smaller than the pion mass the decay  $\pi^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \bar{\nu}_e$  **is heavily suppressed.**



# Evidence for V-A

★ The V-A nature of the charged current weak interaction vertex fits with experiment

**EXAMPLE** charged pion decay

(question 17)


• Experimentally measure:  $\frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}$

• Theoretical predictions (depend on Lorentz Structure of the interaction)


**V-A**  $(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma^5)\phi)$  or **V+A**  $(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(1+\gamma^5)\phi)$   $\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$

**Scalar**  $(\bar{\psi}\phi)$  or **Pseudo-Scalar**  $(\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\phi)$   $\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e)}{\Gamma(\pi^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)} = 5.5$

**EXAMPLE** muon decay



Measure **electron** energy and angular distributions relative to muon spin direction. Results expressed in terms of general **S+P+V+A+T** form in “Michel Parameters”



e.g. TWIST expt:  $6 \times 10^9 \mu$  decays  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 101805

$$\rho = 0.75080 \pm 0.00105$$

**V-A Prediction:**  $\rho = 0.75$

# Weak Charged Current Propagator

- ★ The charged-current Weak interaction is different from QED and QCD in that it is mediated by massive W-bosons (80.3 GeV)
- ★ This results in a more complicated form for the propagator:
  - in handout 4 showed that for the exchange of a massive particle:


$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{massless} & & \text{massive} \\ \frac{1}{q^2} & \longrightarrow & \frac{1}{q^2 - m^2} \end{array}$$

- In addition the sum over W boson polarization states modifies the numerator

## ● W-boson propagator

spin 1  $W^\pm$

$$\frac{-i \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / m_W^2 \right]}{q^2 - m_W^2}$$



- ★ However in the limit where  $q^2$  is small compared with  $m_W = 80.3 \text{ GeV}$  the interaction takes a simpler form.

## ● W-boson propagator ( $q^2 \ll m_W^2$ )

$$\frac{i g_{\mu\nu}}{m_W^2}$$




- The interaction appears point-like (i.e no  $q^2$  dependence)

# Connection to Fermi Theory

- ★ In 1934, before the discovery of parity violation, Fermi proposed, in analogy with QED, that the invariant matrix element for  $\beta$ -decay was of the form:

$$M_{fi} = G_F g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi] [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi]$$

where  $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$



- Note the absence of a propagator : i.e. this represents an interaction at a point

- ★ After the discovery of parity violation in 1957 this was modified to

$$M_{fi} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi] [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi]$$

(the factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  was included so the numerical value of  $G_F$  did not need to be changed)

- ★ Compare to the prediction for W-boson exchange

$$M_{fi} = \left[ \frac{g_W}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi \right] \frac{g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / m_W^2}{q^2 - m_W^2} \left[ \frac{g_W}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi \right]$$

which for  $q^2 \ll m_W^2$  becomes:

$$M_{fi} = \frac{g_W^2}{8m_W^2} g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi] [\bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma^5) \psi]$$


➔

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g_W^2}{8m_W^2}$$

Still usually use  $G_F$  to express strength of weak interaction as this is the quantity that is precisely determined in muon decay.

# Strength of Weak Interaction

★ Strength of weak interaction most precisely measured in muon decay



• Here  $q^2 < m_\mu$  (0.106 GeV)

• To a very good approximation the W-boson propagator can be written

$$\frac{-i [g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu / m_W^2]}{q^2 - m_W^2} \approx \frac{ig_{\mu\nu}}{m_W^2}$$

• In muon decay measure  $g_W^2 / m_W^2$

• Muon decay  $\rightarrow G_F = 1.16639(1) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g_W^2}{8m_W^2}$$

★ To obtain the intrinsic strength of weak interaction need to know mass of W-boson:  $m_W = 80.403 \pm 0.029 \text{ GeV}$  (see handout 14)

$$\rightarrow \alpha_W = \frac{g_W^2}{4\pi} = \frac{8m_W^2 G_F}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} = \frac{1}{30}$$



The intrinsic strength of the weak interaction is similar to, but greater than, the EM interaction ! It is the massive W-boson in the propagator which makes it appear weak. For  $q^2 \gg m_W^2$  weak interactions are more likely than EM.

# Summary

- ★ Weak interaction is of form **Vector – Axial-vector (V-A)**

$$\frac{-ig_w}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma^5)$$

- ★ Consequently only left-handed chiral particle states and right-handed chiral anti-particle states participate in the weak interaction



**MAXIMAL PARITY VIOLATION**

- ★ Weak interaction also violates Charge Conjugation symmetry
- ★ At low  $q^2$  weak interaction is only weak because of the large W-boson mass

$$\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g_W^2}{8m_W^2}$$

- ★ Intrinsic strength of weak interaction is similar to that of QED