



### In this section...

- Physics of colliders
- Different types of detectors
- How to detect and identify particles

## Colliders and $\sqrt{s}$

Consider the collision of two particles:

$$p_{1} = (E_{1}, \vec{p}_{1}) \quad p_{2} = (E_{2}, \vec{p}_{2})$$
The invariant quantity  $s = E_{CM}^{2} = (p_{1} + p_{2})^{2}$ 

$$= (E_{1} + E_{2})^{2} - (\vec{p}_{1} + \vec{p}_{2})^{2}$$

$$= E_{1}^{2} - |\vec{p}_{1}|^{2} + E_{2}^{2} - |\vec{p}_{2}|^{2} + 2E_{1}E_{2} - 2\vec{p}_{1}.\vec{p}_{2}$$

$$= m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2(E_{1}E_{2} - |\vec{p}_{1}||\vec{p}_{2}|\cos\theta)$$
 $\theta$  is the angle between the momentum three-vectors

 $\sqrt{s}$  is the energy in the centre-of-mass frame; it is the amount of energy available to the interaction e.g. in particle-antiparticle annihilation it is the maximum energy/mass of particle(s) that can be produced.

3. Colliders and Detectors

Prof. Alex Mitov

# Colliders and $\sqrt{s}$

Fixed Target CollisionCollider Experiment
$$p_1 = (\overrightarrow{E_1}, \overrightarrow{p_1})$$
 $p_2 = (m_2, 0)$  $p_1 = (\overrightarrow{E_1}, \overrightarrow{p_1})$  $p_2 = (E_2, \overrightarrow{p_2})$  $s = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1m_2$   
For  $E_1 \gg m_1, m_2$  $s = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2(E_1E_2 - |\overrightarrow{p_1}||\overrightarrow{p_2}|\cos\theta)$   
For  $E_1 \gg m_1, m_2$  $s = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2(E_1E_2 - |\overrightarrow{p_1}||\overrightarrow{p_2}|\cos\theta)$   
For  $E_1 \gg m_1, m_2$ e.g. 450 GeV proton hitting a  
proton at rest:  
 $\sqrt{s} \sim \sqrt{2 \times 450 \times 1} \sim 30$  GeVe.g. 450 GeV proton colliding with a  
450 GeV proton:  
 $\sqrt{s} \sim 2 \times 450 = 900$  GeV

In a fixed target experiment most of the proton's energy is wasted providing forward momentum to the final state particles rather than being available for conversion into interesting particles.



#### Detecting Particles Trackers

Trackers detect ionisation loss  $\Rightarrow$  only detect charged particles e.g. multiwire proportional chambers, cloud chambers



Ionisation loss given by Bethe-Block formula depends on particle charge q and speed  $\beta, \gamma$ (not mass)

Immerse tracker in  $\vec{B}$  to measure track radius, and thus particle momentum p. Measure sagitta s from track arc  $\rightarrow$  curvature R



#### Prof. Alex Mitov

initiates shower.

R/-s

3. Colliders and Detectors

## Detecting Particles Calorimeters

Calorimeters detect EM/hadronic showers using layers of absorber and scintillating material

High-density material interacts with the particle and



Nuclear interaction length > radiation length. Use more (denser) material.

High-energy particles produce showers with many particles  $\Rightarrow$  measure with high accuracy. Low-energy particles produce showers with few particles  $\Rightarrow$  low accuracy.

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \propto \frac{\sqrt{N}}{E} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{E}}$$

### Detector design



# Particle Signatures



Different particles leave different signals in the various detector components allowing almost unambiguous identification.

#### $e^{\pm}$ : Track + EM energy

- $\gamma$ : No track + EM energy
- $\mu^{\pm}$ : Track, small calo energy deposits, penetrating
- $au^{\pm}$ : decay, observe decay products
- $\nu$ : not detected (need specialised detectors) hadrons: track (if charged) + calo energy deposits quarks: seen as jets of hadrons









electron photon Prof. Alex Mitov

muon

on pion 3. Colliders and Detectors

neutrino

jet

10

## Particle Signatures Examples



# Particle Signatures Examples



Taus decay within the detector (lifetime  $\sim 10^{-13}\,\text{s}).$ Here  $\tau^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_{\tau}, \ \tau^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Prof. Alex Mitov

d) ta

3-jet event (gluon emitted by  $q/\bar{q}$ )

3. Colliders and Detectors



□ > (四 > (2))(2) (2) (2) (2)

### Example



### Summary

- For high  $\sqrt{s}$ :
  - Prefer colliders over fixed target collisions
  - Prefer circular colliders with high power magnets
  - Prefer to collide high mass particles
- Trackers to trace the path of charged particles
- Calorimeters to stop and measure the energy of particles
- Detector design and particle signatures

Problem Sheet: q.7-9

Up next... Section 4: The Standard Model

16 B



# In this section...

- Standard Model particle content
- Klein-Gordon equation
- Antimatter
- Interaction via particle exchange
- Virtual particles

The Sta	andard M	odel		
Spin-1/2 ferr	nions	Charge (unit	s of e)	idard Model
Quarks	$\left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ d \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c \\ s \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array}\right)$	$ \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} $	d s	t g b y
Leptons	$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{-} \\ \nu_{\mathbf{e}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-} \\ \nu_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau \\ \iota \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix}\\ \gamma_{ au} \end{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$		Force ca
Plus antilepton	s and antiquarks	5		
Spin-1 boso	ns	Mass ( $\text{GeV}/c^2$ )		Mass
Gluon Photon W and Z boso	ns $W^{\pm}, Z$	0 0 91.2, 80.3	Strong force EM force Weak force	generation
<b>Spin-0 boso</b> Higgs	ns h	125	Mass generation	(ロ)・(3)・(2)・(3) 3 の(0)
Prof Alex N	litov	4 The Standard Mode		2

## **Theoretical Framework**

	Macroscopic	Microscopic	
Slow	Classical Mechanics	Quantum Mechanics	
Fast	Special Relativity	Quantum Field Theory	

The Standard Model is a collection of related Gauge Theories which are Quantum Field Theories that satisfy Local Gauge Invariance.

Electromagnetism:	Quantum Electrodynamics (QED)		
	1948 Feynman, Schwinger, Tomonaga (1965 Nobel Prize)		
Electromagnetism + Weak:	Electroweak Unification		
	1968 Glashow, Weinberg, Salam (1979 Nobel Prize)		
Strong:	Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)		
	1974 Politzer, Wilczek, Gross (2004 Nobel Prize)		
	<ロ・< <b>の</b> ・<注>・<き、<		
Prof. Alex Mitov 4	4. The Standard Model 4		

# The Schrödinger Equation

To describe the fundamental interactions of particles we need a theory of Relativistic Quantum Mechanics

Schrödinger Equation for a free particle

$$\hat{E}\psi = rac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}\psi$$

 $(\hbar = 1 \text{ natural units})$ 

with energy and momentum operators  $\hat{E} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \hat{p} = -i \nabla$ 

giving  $i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2m}\nabla^2\psi$ 

which has plane wave solutions: 
$$\psi(\vec{r}, t) = N e^{-i(Et - \vec{p}.\vec{r})}$$

 $1^{st}$  order in time derivative ٠  $2^{\rm nd}$  order in space derivatives

Not Lorentz Invariant!

Schrödinger equation cannot be used to describe the physics of relativistic particles.

4. The Standard Model

## Klein-Gordon Equation

Use the KG equation to describe the physics of relativistic particles.  $E^2 = p^2 + m^2$ From Special Relativity: use energy and momentum operators  $\hat{E} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \hat{p} = -i \nabla$ giving  $-\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla^2 \psi + m^2 \psi$   $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = (\nabla^2 - m^2) \psi$  Klein-Gordon Equation Second order in both space and time derivatives  $\Rightarrow$  Lorentz invariant. Plane wave solutions  $\psi(\vec{r}, t) = N e^{-i(Et - \vec{p}.\vec{r})}$ but this time requiring  $E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$ , allowing  $E = \pm \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$ Negative energy solutions required to form complete set of eigenstates.  $\Rightarrow$  Antimatter

#### Antimatter and the Dirac Equation

In the hope of avoiding negative energy solutions, Dirac sought a linear relativistic wave equation:  $i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-i\vec{\alpha}.\vec{\nabla} + \beta m)\psi$ 

 $\vec{\alpha}$  and  $\beta$  are appropriate 4x4 matrices.

 $\psi$  is a column vector "spinor" of four wavefunctions.

Two of the wavefunctions describe the states of a fermion, but the other two still have negative energy.

Dirac suggested the vacuum had all negative energy states filled. A hole in the negative energy "sea" could be created by exciting an electron to a positive energy state. The hole would behave like a positive energy positive charged "positron". Subsequently detected.

However, this only works for fermions...

We now interpret negative energy states differently...

## Antimatter and the Feynman-Stückelberg Interpretation

Consider the negative energy solution in which a negative energy particle travels backwards in time.  $e^{-iEt} = e^{-i(-E)(-t)}$ 

Interpret as a **positive** energy **anti**particle travelling **forwards** in time.

Then all solutions can be used to describe physical states with positive energy, going forward in time.



#### Antimatter and the Feynman-Stückelberg Interpretation



The interpretation here is easy. The first photon emitted has less energy than the electron it was emitted from. No need for "anti-particles" or negative energy states.

Prof. Alex Mitov



The The emitted photon has more energy than the electron that emitted it. Either view the top vertex as "emission of a negative energy electron travelling backwards in time" or "absorption of a positive energy positron travelling forwards in time".

### Interaction via Particle Exchange

Consider two particles, fixed at  $\vec{r_1}$  and  $\vec{r_2}$ , which exchange a particle of mass *m*.



Calculate the shift in energy of state *i* due to this exchange (using second order perturbation theory):

$$\Delta E_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\langle i | H | j \rangle \langle j | H | i \rangle}{E_i - E_j}$$

Sum over all possible states j with different momenta

where  $\langle j|H|i \rangle$  is the transition from *i* to *j* at  $\vec{r_1}$ where  $\langle i|H|j \rangle$  is the transition from *j* to *i* at  $\vec{r_2}$ 

### Interaction via Particle Exchange



#### Interaction via Particle Exchange

#### Putting the pieces together

Different states j have different momenta  $\vec{p}$  for the exchanged particle. Therefore sum is actually an integral over all momenta:

The integral can be done by taking the *z*-axis along  $\vec{r} = \vec{r_2} - \vec{r_1}$ 

Then 
$$\vec{p}.\vec{r} = pr\cos\theta$$
 and  $d\Omega = 2\pi d(\cos\theta)$   

$$\Delta E_i^{1\to 2} = -\frac{g^2}{2(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2}{p^2 + m^2} \frac{e^{i\vec{p}.\vec{r}} - e^{-i\vec{p}.\vec{r}}}{ipr} dp \quad (\text{see Appendix D})$$

Write this integral as one half of the integral from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$ , which can be done by residues giving  $\Delta E_i^{1\to 2} = -\frac{g^2}{8\pi} \frac{e^{-mr}}{r}$ 

4. The Standard Model

## Interaction via Particle Exchange

#### **Final stage**

Can also exchange particle from 2 to 1:



# Scattering from the Yukawa Potential

Consider elastic scattering (no energy transfer)  $p_{\rm f}$  $\vec{p}_{i}$  $M_{\rm fi} = \int \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}} V(r) \, \mathrm{d}^3 \vec{r}$ Born Approximation  $q^{\mu} = (E, \vec{p})$  $V(r) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-mr}}{r}$ Yukawa Potential  $q^2 = E^2 - |\vec{p}|^2$  $q^2$  is invariant  $M_{\rm fi} = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \int \frac{{\rm e}^{-mr}}{r} {\rm e}^{{\rm i}\vec{p}.\vec{r}} {\rm d}^3\vec{r} = -\frac{g^2}{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2}$ "Virtual Mass" The integral can be done by choosing the z-axis along  $\vec{r}$ , then  $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r} = pr \cos \theta$ and  $d^3 \vec{r} = 2\pi r^2 dr d(\cos \theta)$ For elastic scattering,  $q^{\mu} = (0, \vec{p}), q^2 = -|p|^2$  and exchanged massive particle is highly "virtual"  $M_{\rm fi} = \frac{g^2}{a^2 - m^2}$ 4. The Standard Model Prof. Alex Mitov

## Virtual Particles

Forces arise due to the exchange of unobservable virtual particles.

• The effective mass of the virtual particle,  $q^2$ , is given by

$$q^2 = E^2 - |\vec{p}|^2$$

and is not equal to the physical mass m, i.e. it is off-shell mass.

- The mass of a virtual particle can be +ve, -ve or imaginary.
- A virtual particle which is off-mass shell by amount Δm can only exist for time and range

$$t \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta mc^2} = \frac{1}{\Delta m}, \quad \text{range} = \frac{\hbar}{\Delta mc} = \frac{1}{\Delta m} \quad \hbar = c = 1$$

• If  $q^2 = m^2$ , the the particle is real and can be observed.

# Virtual Particles

For virtual particle exchange, expect a contribution to the matrix element of

$$M_{\rm fi}=\frac{g^2}{q^2-m^2}$$

where g Coupling constant  $g^2$  Strength of interaction  $m^2$  Physical (on-shell) mass  $q^2$  Virtual (off-shell) mass  $\frac{1}{q^2 - m^2}$  Propagator

Qualitatively: the propagator is inversely proportional to how far the particle is off-shell. The further off-shell, the smaller the probability of producing such a virtual state.

- For  $m \rightarrow 0$ ; e.g. single  $\gamma$  exchange,  $M_{\rm fi} = g^2/q^2$
- For  $q^2 \rightarrow 0$ , very low momentum transfer EM scattering (small angle) Prof. Alex Mitov 4. The Standard Model

# Virtual Particles Example

## Summary

- SM particles: 12 fermions, 5 spin-1 bosons, 1 spin-0 boson.
- Need relativistic wave equations to describe particle interactions. Klein-Gordon equation (bosons), Dirac equation (fermions).
- Negative energy solutions describe antiparticles.
- The exchange of a massive particle generates an attractive force between two particles.
- Yukawa potential

$$V(r) = -\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-mr}}{r}$$

• Exchanged particles may be virtual.

```
Problem Sheet: q.10
```

Up next... Section 5: Feynman Diagrams

Prof. Alex Mitov

4. The Standard Model



## In this section...

- Introduction to Feynman diagrams.
- Anatomy of Feynman diagrams.
- Allowed vertices.
- General rules



## Feynman Diagrams



Richard Feynman 1965 Nobel Prize The results of calculations based on a single process in Time-Ordered Perturbation Theory (sometimes called old-fashioned, OFPT) depend on the reference frame.

The sum of all time orderings is frame independent and provides the basis for our relativistic theory of Quantum Mechanics.

A Feynman diagram represents the sum of all time orderings



# Feynman Diagrams

Each Feynman diagram represents a term in the perturbation theory expansion of the matrix element for an interaction.

Normally, a full matrix element contains an infinite number of Feynman diagrams.

Total amplitude  $M_{\rm fi} = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + ...$ Total rate  $\Gamma_{\rm fi} = 2\pi |M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + ...|^2 \rho(E)$  Fermi's Golden Rule

But each vertex gives a factor of g, so if g is small (i.e. the perturbation is small) only need the first few. (Lowest order = fewest vertices possible)



## Feynman Diagrams

#### **Perturbation Theory**

Calculating Matrix Elements from Perturbation Theory from first principles is cumbersome – so we don't usually use it.

• Need to do time-ordered sums of (on mass shell) particles whose production and decay does not conserve energy and momentum.

#### **Feynman Diagrams**

Represent the maths of Perturbation Theory with Feynman Diagrams in a very simple way (to arbitrary order, if couplings are small enough). Use them to calculate matrix elements.

- Approx size of matrix element may be estimated from the simplest valid Feynman Diagram for given process.
- Full matrix element requires infinite number of diagrams.
- Now only need one exchanged particle, but it is now off mass shell, however production/decay now conserves energy and momentum.

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

5. Feynman Diagrams

# Anatomy of Feynman Diagrams

Feynman devised a pictorial method for evaluating matrix elements for the interactions between fundamental particles in a few simple rules. We shall use Feynman diagrams extensively throughout this course.

**Topological** features of Feynman diagrams are straightforwardly associated with terms in the Matrix element

Represent particles (and antiparticles):

Spin $1/2$	Quarks and Leptons	
Spin 1	$\gamma$ , $W^{\pm}$ , $Z$	~~~~
	g	Q000000

And each interaction point (vertex) with a  $\bullet$ Each vertex contributes a factor of the coupling constant, g.

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```



# Vertices

A vertex represents a point of interaction: either EM, weak or strong.

The strength of the interaction is denoted by gEM interaction: g = Qe (sometimes denoted as  $Q\sqrt{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$ ) Weak interaction:  $g = g_W$ Strong interaction:  $g = \sqrt{\alpha_s}$ 

A vertex will have three (in rare cases four) lines attached, e.g.



At each vertex, conserve energy, momentum, angular momentum, charge, lepton number ( $L_e = +1$  for  $e^-$ ,  $\nu_e$ , = -1 for  $e^+$ ,  $\bar{\nu}_e$ , similar for  $L_{\mu}$ ,  $L_{\tau}$ ), baryon number ( $B = \frac{1}{3}(n_q - n_{\bar{q}})$ ), strangeness ( $S = -(n_s - n_{\bar{s}})$ ) & parity – except in weak interactions.



## Allowed Vertices Weak

- must involve a gauge vector boson Z or  $W^{\pm}$
- coupling strength g<sub>W</sub>
- tip: if you see a  $\nu$  or  $\bar{\nu}$ , it must be a weak interaction with  $W^{\pm}$



## Allowed Vertices Weak

- must involve a gauge vector boson Z or  $W^{\pm}$
- coupling strength  $g_W$
- tip: if you see a  $\nu$  or  $\bar{\nu},$  it must be a weak interaction with  ${\cal W}^\pm$



### Allowed Vertices Weak







#### Examples



## **Drawing Feynman Diagrams**

A Feynman diagram is a pictorial representation of the matrix element describing particle decay or interaction

 $a \rightarrow b + c + \dots$   $a + b \rightarrow c + d$ 

To draw a Feynman diagram and determine whether a process is allowed, follow the five basic steps below:

- Write down the initial and final state particles and antiparticles and note 1 the quark content of all hadrons.
- Draw the simplest Feynman diagram using the Standard Model vertices. 2 Bearing in mind:
  - Similar diagrams for particles/antiparticles
  - Never have a vertex connecting a lepton to a quark ٩
  - Only the weak charged current  $(W^{\pm})$  vertex changes flavour ۲ within generations for leptons within/between generations for quarks



## Drawing Feynman Diagrams Identical Particles

If we have identical particles in final state, e.g.  $a + b \rightarrow c + c$ may not know which particle comes from which vertex.

Two possibilities are separate final Feynman diagrams:



## Drawing Feynman Diagrams

Being able to draw a Feynman diagram is a necessary, but not a sufficient condition for the process to occur. Also need to check:

#### One of the system conserves

- Energy, momentum (trivially satisfied for interactions, so long as sufficient KE in initial state. May forbid decays)
- Charge
- Angular momentum
- Parity
  - Conserved in EM/Strong interaction
  - Can be violated in the Weak interaction
- Obeck symmetry for identical particles in the final state
  - Bosons  $\psi(1,2) = +\psi(2,1)$
  - Fermions  $\psi(1,2) = -\psi(2,1)$

Finally, a process will occur via the Strong, EM and Weak interaction (in that order of preference) if steps 1 - 5 are satisfied.

Prof. Alex Mitov

5. Feynman Diagrams

#### Summary

- Feynman diagrams are a core part of the course. Make sure you can draw them!
- Feynman diagrams are a sum over time orderings.
- Associate topological features of the diagrams with terms in matrix elements.
- Vertices  $\leftrightarrow$  coupling strength between particles and field quanta
- Propagator for each internal line (off-mass shell, virtual particles)
- Conservation of quantum numbers at each vertex

#### Problem Sheet: q.11

Up next... Section 6: QED



## In this section...

- Gauge invariance
- Allowed vertices + examples
- Scattering
- Experimental tests
- Running of alpha

# QED

Quantum Electrodynamics is the gauge theory of electromagnetic interactions. Consider a non-relativistic charged particle in an EM field:

## QED

Schrödinger equation

$$\left[rac{1}{2m}(\hat{ec{p}}-qec{A})^2+qarphi
ight]\psi(ec{r},t)=irac{\partial\psi(ec{r},t)}{\partial t}$$

is invariant under the local gauge transformation  $\psi \to \psi' = {\rm e}^{{\rm i} q \alpha(\vec{r},t)} \psi$ 

so long as  $\vec{A} \to \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \alpha$ ;  $\varphi \to \varphi - \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$  (See Appendix E)

Local Gauge Invariance requires the existence of a physical Gauge Field (photon) and completely specifies the form of the interaction between the particle and field.

Photons are massless

(in order to cancel phase changes over all space-time, the range of the photon must be infinite)

• Charge is conserved – the charge q which interacts with the field must not change in space or time

#### QED is a gauge theory



## Important QED Processes



## Scattering in QED Examples

Calculate the "spin-less" cross-sections for the two processes:

1. Electron-proton scattering





Fermi's Golden rule and Born Approximation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{E^2}{(2\pi)^2} |M|^2$$

For both processes we have the same matrix element (though  $q^2$  is different)

$$M=\frac{e^2}{q^2}=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{q^2}$$

•  $e^2 = 4\pi\alpha$  is the strength of the interaction.

•  $1/q^2$  measures the probability that the photon carries 4-momentum  $q^{\mu} = (E, \vec{p}); \quad q^2 = E^2 - |\vec{p}|^2$  i.e. smaller probability for higher mass. Prof. Alex Mitov 6. QED



#### Scattering in QED 1. "Spinless" e – p Scattering





... the actual cross-section (using the Dirac equation to take spin into account) is

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}$ =	$= \frac{\alpha^2}{4s}(1+\cos^2\theta)$	
$\sigma(e^+e^-)$	$ ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)=rac{4\pilpha}{3s}$	<mark>ر</mark> 2






### Experimental Tests of QED

 $O(\alpha^3)$ 



QED provides a remarkably precise description of the electromagnetic ۲ interaction! Prof. Alex Mitov 6. QED

15

## **Higher Orders**

So far only considered lowest order term in the perturbation series. Higher order terms also contribute (and also interfere with lower orders)



### Running of $\alpha$

- $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$  specifies the strength of the interaction between an electron and a photon.
- But  $\alpha$  is not a constant

Consider an electric charge in a dielectric medium. Charge Q appears screened by a halo of +ve charges. Only see full value of charge Q at small distance.

Consider a free electron. The same effect can happen due to quantum fluctuations that lead to a cloud of virtual  $e^+e^-$  pairs.  $\gamma_{e} \rightarrow \gamma_{e} \rightarrow \gamma_{e$ 

17

- The vacuum acts like a dielectric medium
- The virtual  $e^+e^-$  pairs are therefore polarised
- At large distances the bare electron charge is screened.
- At shorter distances, screening effect reduced and we see a larger effective charge i.e. a larger  $\alpha$ .

6. QED

### Running of $\alpha$

Prof. Alex Mitov



### Summary

• QED is the physics of the photon + "charged particle" vertex:



- Every EM vertex has:
  - has an arrow going in & out (lepton or quark), and a photon
  - does not change the type of lepton or quark "passing through"
  - conserves charge, energy and momentum
- The dimensionless coupling  $\sqrt{\alpha}$  is proportional to the electric charge of the lepton or quark, and it "runs" with energy scale.
- QED has been tested at the level of 1 part in  $10^8$ .

Problem Sheet: q.12-14

Up next... Section 7: QCD Prof. Alex Mitov

6. QED

er (19



### In this section...

- The strong vertex
- Colour, gluons and self-interactions
- QCD potential, confinement
- Hadronisation, jets
- Running of  $\alpha_s$
- Experimental tests of QCD

## QCD

Quantum Electrodynamics is the quantum theory of the electromagnetic interaction.

- mediated by massless photons ٠
- photon couples to electric charge ٠
- strength of interaction:  $\langle \psi_{\rm f} | \hat{H} | \psi_{\rm i} \rangle \propto \sqrt{\alpha}$   $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{127}$ ٩

Quantum Chromodynamics is the quantum theory of the strong interaction.

- mediated by massless gluons ۲
- gluon couples to "strong" charge ٠
- only quarks have non-zero "strong" charge, therefore only quarks feel the 0 strong interaction. strength of interaction:  $\langle \psi_{\rm f} | \hat{H} | \psi_{\rm i} \rangle \propto \sqrt{\alpha_s} \qquad \alpha_s = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi} \sim 1$

7. QCD

٩

Prof. Alex Mitov

## The Strong Vertex

Basic QCD interaction looks like a stronger version of QED:



- The coupling of the gluon,  $g_s$ , is to the "strong" charge. 0
- Energy, momentum, angular momentum and charge always conserved.
- QCD vertex never changes quark flavour ٠
- QCD vertex always conserves parity ٠

## Colour

#### QED:

• Charge of QED is electric charge, a conserved quantum number

### QCD:

- Charge of QCD is called " colour "
- colour is a conserved quantum number with 3 values labelled red, green and blue.
  - Quarks carrycolourrbgAntiquarks carryanti- colour $\bar{r}$  $\bar{b}$  $\bar{g}$
- Colorless particles either have
  - no colour at all e.g. leptons,  $\gamma$ , W, Z and do not interact via the strong interaction
  - or equal parts r, b, g e.g. meson  $q\bar{q}$  with  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(r\bar{r}+b\bar{b}+g\bar{g})$ , baryon qqq with rgb
- gluons do not have equal parts r, b, g, so carry colour (e.g.  $r\bar{r}$ , see later)

7. QCD

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

## QCD as a gauge theory

Recall QED was invariant under gauge symmetry

$$\psi \to \psi' = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} q \alpha(\vec{r}, t)} \psi$$

• The equivalent symmetry for QCD is invariance under (non-examinable)  $\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{ig\vec{\lambda}.\vec{\Lambda}(\vec{r},t)}\psi$ 

an "SU(3)" transformation ( $\lambda$  are eight 3x3 matrices).

- Operates on the colour state of the quark field a "rotation" of the colour state which can be different at each point of space and time.
- Invariance under SU(3) transformations → eight massless gauge bosons, gluons (eight in this case). Gluon couplings are well specified.
- Gluons also have self-couplings, i.e. they carry colour themselves...

### Gluons

Ρ

Gluons are massless spin-1 bosons, which carry the colour quantum number (unlike  $\gamma$  in QED which is charge neutral).

Consider a red quark scattering off a blue quark. Colour is exchanged, but always conserved (overall and at each vertex).



**Expect 9 gluons (3x3):**  $r\bar{b} r\bar{g} g\bar{r} g\bar{b} b\bar{g} b\bar{r} r\bar{r} b\bar{b} g\bar{g}$ 

**However:** Real gluons are orthogonal linear combinations of the above states. The combination  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(r\bar{r} + b\bar{b} + g\bar{g})$  is colourless and does not participate in the strong interaction.  $\Rightarrow$  8 coloured gluons

Conventionally chosen to be (all orthogonal):

$$rar{b} \ rar{g} \ gar{r} \ gar{b} \ bar{g} \ bar{g} \ bar{r} \ rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(rar{r} - bar{b}) \ rac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(rar{r} + bar{b} - 2gar{g})$$

## **Gluon Self-Interactions**

QCD looks like a stronger version of QED. However, there is one big difference and that is gluons carry colour charge.



# **QCD** Potential

**QED Potential:** 
$$V_{\text{QED}} = -\frac{\alpha}{r}$$

**QCD** Potential:  $V_{\text{QCD}} = -C \frac{\alpha_s}{r}$ 

At short distances, QCD potential looks similar, apart from the "colour factor" C.

For  $q\bar{q}$  in a colourless state in a meson, C = 4/3For qq in a colourless state in baryon, C = 2/3

Note: the colour factor C arises because more than one gluon can participate in the process  $q \rightarrow qg$ . Obtain colour factor from averaging over initial colour states and summing over final/intermediate colour states.

7. QCD



Prof. Alex Mitov

## Confinement

#### Never observe single free quarks or gluons

- Quarks are always confined within hadrons
- This is a consequence of the strong interaction of gluons.

Qualitatively, compare QCD with QED:



Self interactions of the gluons squeezes the lines of force into a narrow tube or string. The string has a "tension" and as the quarks separate the string stores potential energy.

Energy stored per unit length in field  $\sim$  constant  $V(r) \propto r$ 

Energy required to separate two quarks is infinite. Quarks always come in combinations with zero net colour charge  $\Rightarrow$  confinement.

7. QCD

### How Strong is Strong?

QCD potential between quark and antiquark has two components:

Short range, Coulomb-like term:  $-\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r}$ Long range, linear term: +kr۲  $V_{\rm QCD} = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_s}{r} + kr$ QCD Potential with  $k \sim 1 \text{ GeV/fm}$  $V = -4 \alpha_s + kr$  $F = -\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}r} = \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r^2} + k$ 0.5 -1 -1.5 at large r -2  $F = k \sim \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-10}}{10^{-15}} \,\mathrm{N} = 160,000 \,\mathrm{N}$ -2.5 -3 0.1 0.2 05 06 07 r (fm) Equivalent to weight of  $\sim$ 150 people Prof. Alex Mitov 7. QCD 11

### Jets



As the quarks separate, the potential energy in the colour field ("string") starts to increase linearly with separation. When the energy stored exceeds  $2m_q$ , new  $q\bar{q}$  pairs can be created.



### Jets

As quarks separate, more  $q\bar{q}$  pairs are produced. This process is called hadronisation. Start out with quarks and end up with narrowly collimated jets of hadrons.







#### Typical $e^+e^- ightarrow qar{q}$ event

The hadrons in a quark(antiquark) jet follow the direction of the original quark(antiquark). Consequently,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  is observed as a pair of back-to-back jets.

13

### **Nucleon-Nucleon Interactions**

- Bound qqq states (e.g. protons and neutrons) are colourless (colour singlets)
- They can only emit and absorb another colour singlet state, i.e. not single gluons (conservation of colour charge).

7. QCD

Interact by exchange of pions.
 Example: *pp* scattering (One possible diagram)



## Running of $\alpha_s$

- $\alpha_s$  specifies the strength of the strong interaction.
- But, just as in QED,  $\alpha_s$  is not a constant. It "runs" (i.e. depends on energy).
- In QED, the bare electron charge is screened by a cloud of virtual electron-positron pairs.
- In QCD, a similar "colour screening" effect occurs.

q

In QCD, quantum fluctuations lead to a cloud of virtual  $q\bar{q}$  pairs.

One of many (an infinite set) of such diagrams analogous to those for QED.

In QCD, the gluon self-interactions also lead to a cloud of virtual gluons.

One of many (an infinite set) of such diagrams. No analogy in QED, photons do not carry the charge of the interaction.

15

Prof. Alex Mitov

q

q

7. QCD

## Colour Anti-Screening

- Due to gluon self-interactions bare colour charge is screened by both virtual quarks and gluons.
- The cloud of virtual gluons carries colour charge and the effective colour charge decreases at smaller distances (high energy)!
- Hence, at low energies,  $\alpha_s$  is large  $\rightarrow$  cannot use perturbation theory.
- But at high energies,  $\alpha_s$  is small. In this regime, can treat quarks as free particles and use perturbation theory  $\rightarrow$  Asymptotic Freedom.



## Scattering in QCD



## Scattering in QCD



Calculate ratio of  $\sigma(pp)_{ ext{total}}$  to  $\sigma(\pi^+p)_{ ext{total}}$ 

QCD does not distinguish between quark flavours, only colour charge of quarks matters.

At high energy ( $E \gg$  binding energy of quarks within hadrons), ratio of  $\sigma(pp)_{\text{total}}$  and  $\sigma(\pi^+p)_{\text{total}}$  depends on number of possible quark-quark combinations.

Predict:
$$\frac{\sigma(\pi p)}{\sigma(pp)} = \frac{2 \times 3}{3 \times 3} = \frac{2}{3}$$
Experiment: $\frac{\sigma(\pi p)}{\sigma(pp)} = \frac{24 \,\mathrm{mb}}{38 \,\mathrm{mb}} \sim \frac{2}{3}$ Prof. Alex Mitov7. QCD18

## QCD in $e^+e^-$ Annihilation

 $e^+e^-$  annihilation at high energies provides direct experimental evidence for colour and for gluons.

Start by comparing the cross-sections for  $e^+e^- o \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $e^+e^- o qar q$ 





If we neglect the mass of the final state quarks/muons then the only difference is the charge of the final state particles:  $Q_{\mu} = -1$   $Q_{q} = +\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}$ 

7. QCD

### **Evidence for Colour**

Consider the ratio

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)}$$

For a single quark of a given colour  $R = Q_a^2$ 

However, we measure  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})$  not just  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow u\bar{u})$ . A jet from a u-quark looks just like a jet from a d-quark etc. Thus, we need to sum over all available flavours (u, d, c, s, t, b) and colours (*r*, *g*, *b*):

 $R = 3\sum_{i} Q_i^2 \qquad (3 \text{ colours})$ 

where the sum is over all quark flavours (i) that are kinematically accessible at centre-of-mass energy,  $\sqrt{s}$ , of the collider.

Evidence for Colour			
Expect to see	steps in $R$ as energy is $R = 3$	increased. $\sum_{i} Q_{i}^{2}$	
	Energy	Expected ratio R	
	$\sqrt{s} > 2m_s, ~\sim 1 \text{ GeV}$	$3\left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9}\right) = 2$ $uds$	
	$\sqrt{s} > 2m_c, \sim 4 \text{ GeV}$	$3\left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9}\right) = 3\frac{1}{3}$ <i>udsc</i>	
	$\sqrt{s} > 2m_b, ~\sim 10 { m GeV}$	$3\left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9}\right) = 3\frac{2}{3}$ <i>udscb</i>	
	$\sqrt{s} > 2m_t, ~\sim 350 { m ~GeV}$	$3\left(\frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9}\right) = 5$ <i>udscbt</i>	
Prof. Alex M	ſitov	7. QCD	

## **Evidence for Colour**

 $R = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}$ 

- *R* increases in steps with √s
   Strong evidence for colour
- $\sqrt{s} < 11 \text{ GeV}$  region observe bound state resonances: charmonium  $(c\bar{c})$  and bottomonium  $(b\bar{b})$
- $\sqrt{s} > 50$  GeV region observe low edge of Z resonance  $\Gamma \sim 2.5$  GeV.



### **Experimental Evidence for Colour**

- $R = rac{\sigma(e^+e^- 
  ightarrow ext{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- 
  ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}$
- The existence of  $\Omega^{-}$  (sss)

The  $\Omega^{-}(sss)$  is a (L = 0) spin-3/2 baryon consisting of three *s*-quarks.

The wavefunction:  $\psi = s \uparrow s \uparrow s \uparrow$ 

is symmetric under particle interchange. However, quarks are fermions, therefore require an anti-symmetric wave-function, i.e. need another degree of freedom, namely colour, whose wavefunction must be antisymmetric.

$$\psi = (s \uparrow s \uparrow s \uparrow)\psi_{ ext{colour}}$$
 $\psi_{ ext{colour}} = rac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(rgb + gbr + brg - grb - rbg - bgr)$ 

i.e. need to introduce a new quantum number ( colour ) to distinguish the three quarks in  $\Omega^-$  – avoids violation of Pauli's Exclusion Principle.



## **Evidence** for Gluons

In QED, electrons can radiate photons. In QCD, quarks can radiate gluons.



Giving an extra factor of  $\sqrt{\alpha_s}$  in the matrix element, i.e. an extra factor of  $\alpha_s$  in the cross-section.

In QED we can detect the photons. In QCD, we never see free gluons due to confinement.

Experimentally, detect gluons as an additional jet: 3-jet events.

- Angular distribution of gluon jet depends on gluon spin.



## **Evidence for Gluon Self-Interactions**

Direct evidence for the existence of the gluon self-interactions comes from 4-jet events:



The angular distribution of jets is sensitive to existence of triple gluon vertex (lower left diagram)

 $qqg\,$  vertex consists of two spin 1/2 quarks and one spin 1 gluon  $ggg\,$  vertex consists of three spin-1 gluons

 $\Rightarrow$  Different angular distribution.

Prof. Alex Mitov



### Measurements of $\alpha_s$



### Measurements of $\alpha_s$



### Observed running of $\alpha_s$



### Summary

- QCD is a gauge theory, similar to QED, based on SU(3) symmetry
- Gluons are vector gauge bosons, which couple to (three types of) colour charge (*r*, *b*, *g*)
- Gluons themselves carry colour charge hence they have self-interactions (unlike QED).
- Leads to running of α<sub>s</sub>, in the opposite sense to QED. Force is weaker at high energies ("asymptotic freedom") and very strong at low energies.
- Quarks and gluons are confined. Seen as hadrons and jets of hadrons.
- Tests of QCD
  - Evidence for colour
  - Existence of gluons, test of their spin and self-interactions
  - Measurement of  $\alpha_s$  and observation that it runs.

#### Problem Sheet: q.15-16

Up next... Section 8: Quark Model of Hadrons

Prof. Alex Mitov

7. QCD



### In this section...

- Hadron wavefunctions and parity
- Light mesons
- Light baryons
- Charmonium
- Bottomonium

## The Quark Model of Hadrons

#### **Evidence for quarks**

- The magnetic moments of proton and neutron are not  $\mu_N = e\hbar/2m_p$  and 0 respectively  $\Rightarrow$  not point-like
- Electron-proton scattering at high q<sup>2</sup> deviates from Rutherford scattering ⇒ proton has substructure
- Hadron jets are observed in  $e^+e^-$  and pp collisions
- Symmetries (patterns) in masses and properties of hadron states, "quarky" periodic table ⇒ sub-structure
- Steps in  $R = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons) / \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$
- Observation of  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  bound states
- and much, much more...

Here, we will first consider the wave-functions for hadrons formed from light quarks (u, d, s) and deduce some of their static properties (mass and magnetic moments).

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

Then we will go on to discuss the heavy quarks (c, b).

We will cover the *t* quark later...

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

## Hadron Wavefunctions



### Parity

- The Parity operator,  $\hat{P}$ , performs spatial inversion  $\hat{P}|\psi(\vec{r},t)\rangle = |\psi(-\vec{r},t)\rangle$
- The eigenvalue of  $\hat{P}$  is called Parity

$$\hat{P}|\psi\rangle = P|\psi\rangle, \qquad P = \pm 1$$

- Most particles are eigenstates of Parity and in this case *P* represents intrinsic Parity of a particle/antiparticle.
- Parity is a useful concept. If the Hamiltonian for an interaction commutes with  $\hat{P}$   $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\rho} & \hat{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$$\hat{P},\hat{H} = 0$$

then Parity is conserved in the interaction:

**Parity conserved** in the **strong** and **EM** interactions, but **not** in the **weak** interaction.

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

### Parity

• Composite system of two particles with orbital angular momentum *L*:  $P = P_1 P_2 (-1)^L$ 

where  $P_{1,2}$  are the intrinsic parities of particles 1, 2.

Quantum Field Theory tells us that

Fermions and antifermions:opposite parityBosons and antibosons:same parity

#### **Choose:**

Quarks and leptons:	$P_{q/\ell} = +1$
Antiquarks and antileptons:	$P_{\bar{q},\bar{\ell}} = -1$

**Gauge Bosons:**  $(\gamma, g, W, Z)$  are vector fields which transform as

$$J^{P}=1^{-}$$
  
 $P_{\gamma}=-1$ 

Prof. Alex Mitov

## Light Mesons

Mesons are bound  $q\bar{q}$  states.

Consider ground state mesons consisting of light quarks (u, d, s).

 $m_u \sim 0.3~{
m GeV},~m_d \sim 0.3~{
m GeV},~m_s \sim 0.5~{
m GeV}$ 

• Ground State (L = 0): Meson "spin" (total angular momentum) is given by the  $q\bar{q}$  spin state.

Two possible  $q\bar{q}$  total spin states: S = 0, 1

- S = 0: pseudoscalar mesons
- S = 1: vector mesons
- Meson Parity:  $(q \text{ and } \bar{q} \text{ have opposite parity})$

$$P = P_q P_{\bar{q}}(-1)^L = (+1)(-1)(-1)^L = -1$$
 (for  $L = 0$ )

• Flavour States:  $u\bar{d}, u\bar{s}, d\bar{u}, d\bar{s}, s\bar{u}, s\bar{d}$  and  $u\bar{u}, d\bar{d}$   $s\bar{s}$  mixtures

Expect: Nine  $J^P = 0^-$  mesons: Pseudoscalar nonet

Nine  $J^P = 1^-$  mesons: Vector nonet

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

Prof. Alex Mitov

## uds Multiplets

Basic quark multiplet – plot the quantum numbers of (anti)quarks:



The ideas of strangeness and isospin are historical quantum numbers assigned to different states.

Essentially they count quark flavours (this was all before the formulation of the Quark Model). Isospin =  $\frac{1}{2}(n_u - n_d - n_{\bar{u}} + n_{\bar{d}})$ 

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

8

Strangeness =  $n_{\bar{s}} - n_{s}$ 

Prof. Alex Mitov

### Light Mesons



# uū, dd, ss States



 Predict:  $\Gamma_{\rho^0}: \Gamma_{\omega^0}: \Gamma_{\phi} = 9:1:2$  Experiment:  $(8.8 \pm 2.6): 1: (1.7 \pm 0.4)$  

 Prof. Alex Mitov
 8. Quark Model of Hadrons
 10

### Meson Masses

Meson masses are only partly from constituent quark masses:

 $m(K) > m(\pi) \Rightarrow \text{suggests } m_s > m_u, m_d$ 495 MeV 140 MeV

Not the whole story...

 $m(\rho) > m(\pi) \Rightarrow$  although both are  $u\bar{d}$ 770 MeV 140 MeV

Only difference is the orientation of the quark spins ( $\uparrow\uparrow$  vs  $\uparrow\downarrow$ )

 $\Rightarrow$  spin-spin interaction

Prof. Alex Mitov

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

### Meson Masses Spin-spin Interaction

**QED:** Hyperfine splitting in  $H_2$  (L = 0) Energy shift due to electron spin in magnetic field of proton

$$\Delta E = \vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{2}{3} \vec{\mu}_e \cdot \vec{\mu}_p |\psi(\mathbf{0})|^2$$

and using  $ec{\mu}=rac{e}{2m}ec{S}$ 

$$\Delta E \propto lpha rac{ec{S_e}}{m_e} rac{ec{S_p}}{m_p}$$

**QCD:** Colour Magnetic Interaction

Fundamental form of the interaction between a quark and a gluon is identical to that between an electron and a photon. Consequently, also have a colour magnetic interaction  $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{c}$ 

$$\Delta E \propto \alpha_s \frac{\vec{S}_1}{m_1} \frac{\vec{S}_2}{m_2}$$







### Baryons

Baryons made from 3 indistinguishable quarks (flavour can be treated as another quantum number in the wave-function)  $\psi_{\text{barvon}} = \psi_{\text{space}} \psi_{\text{flavour}} \psi_{\text{spin}} \psi_{\text{colour}}$  $\psi_{
m baryon}$  must be anti-symmetric under interchange of any 2 quarks **Example:**  $\Omega^{-}(sss)$  wavefunction (L = 0, J = 3/2) $\psi_{
m spin} \psi_{
m flavour} = s \uparrow s \uparrow s \uparrow$  is symmetric  $\Rightarrow$  require antisymmetric  $\psi_{
m colour}$ **Ground State** (L = 0)We will only consider the baryon ground states, which have zero orbital angular momentum  $\psi_{
m space}$  symmetric  $\rightarrow$  All hadrons are colour singlets  $\psi_{\text{colour}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (rgb + gbr + brg - grb - rbg - bgr)$ antisymmetric Therefore,  $\psi_{
m spin} \, \psi_{
m flavour}$  must be symmetric Prof. Alex Mitov 8. Quark Model of Hadrons Baryon spin wavefunctions ( $\psi_{
m spin}$ ) **Combine 3 spin 1/2 quarks:** Total spin  $J = \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{2}$ Consider J = 3/2Trivial to write down the spin wave-function for the  $\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle$  state:  $\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle =\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ Generate other states using the ladder operator  $\hat{J}_ \hat{J}_{-}\left|rac{3}{2},rac{3}{2}
ight
angle=(\hat{J}_{-}\uparrow)\uparrow\uparrow+\uparrow(\hat{J}_{-}\uparrow)\uparrow+\uparrow\uparrow(\hat{J}_{-}\uparrow)$  $\hat{J}_{-}\left|j,m
ight
angle=\sqrt{j(j+1)-m(m-1)}\left|j,m-1
ight
angle$  $\sqrt{\frac{35}{22} - \frac{31}{22}} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle = \quad \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow + \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow + \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$  $\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow+\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow+\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$  $\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle =\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$  $\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow+\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow+\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ Giving the J = 3/2 states:  $\longrightarrow$  $\left|\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow)$ All symmetric under  $\left|\frac{3}{2},-\frac{3}{2}\right\rangle=\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ interchange of any two spins Prof. Alex Mitov 8. Quark Model of Hadrons 16

### Baryon spin wavefunctions ( $\psi_{ m spin}$ )

Consider J = 1/2First consider the case where the first 2 quarks are in a  $|0,0\rangle$  state:  $|0,0\rangle_{(12)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow)$  $\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{(122)} = \left|0,0\right\rangle_{(12)} \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow-\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow) \qquad \qquad \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{(122)} = \left|0,0\right\rangle_{(12)} \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow-\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ Antisymmetric under exchange  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ . Three-quark J = 1/2 states can also be formed from the state with the first two quarks in a symmetric spin wavefunction. Can construct a three-particle state  $\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{(123)}$  from  $|1,0\rangle_{(12)} \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{(3)}$  and  $|1,1\rangle_{(12)} \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{(3)}$ Prof. Alex Mitov 8. Quark Model of Hadrons 17 Baryon spin wavefunctions ( $\psi_{
m spin}$ ) Taking the linear combination  $\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = a \left|1,1\right\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + b \left|1,0\right\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ with  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . Act upon both sides with  $\hat{J}_+$  $\hat{J}_{+}\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = a\left[\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|1,1\right\rangle\right)\left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \left|1,1\right\rangle\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle\right)\right] \\ + b\left[\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|1,0\right\rangle\right)\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \left|1,0\right\rangle\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle\right)\right] \\ + b\left[\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|1,0\right\rangle\right)\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle\right] \\ + b\left[\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|1,0\right\rangle\right] \\ + b\left[\left(\hat{J}_{+}\left|1,0\right$  $0 = a |1,1\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle + \sqrt{2}b |1,1\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$  $\hat{a} = -\sqrt{2b}$   $\hat{J}_{+}|j,m\rangle = \sqrt{j(j+1) - m(m+1)}|j,m+1\rangle$ which with  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  implies  $a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \ b = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$  $|1,1\rangle = \uparrow \uparrow$ Giving  $\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left|1,1\right\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left|1,0\right\rangle \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$  $|1,0
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\uparrow\downarrow+\downarrow\uparrow
ight)$  $\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(2\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow - \uparrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\right) \qquad \quad \left|\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(2\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow - \downarrow\uparrow\downarrow - \uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\right)$ Symmetric under interchange  $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ 

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

Prof. Alex Mitov

### Three-quark spin wavefunctions

J = 3/2	$ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow $ $ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\downarrow \uparrow \uparrow + \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow + \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow) $ $ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow + \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow + \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow) $ $ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow $	Symmetric under interchange of any 2 quarks
J = 1/2	$ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow - \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow) \\ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow - \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow) $	Antisymmetric under interchange of $1 \leftrightarrow 2$
J = 1/2	$ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( 2 \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow - \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow - \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \right) $ $ \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( 2 \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow - \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow - \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \right) $	Symmetric under interchange of $1 \leftrightarrow 2$
$\psi_{ m spin}\psi_{ m flavour}$ must be symmetric under interchange of any 2 quarks.		

Prof. Alex Mitov

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

### Three-quark spin wavefunctions

#### Consider 3 cases:

#### Quarks all same flavour: uuu, ddd, sss

- $\psi_{\mathrm{flavour}}$  is symmetric under interchange of any two quarks
- Require  $\psi_{
  m spin}$  to be symmetric under interchange of any two quarks
- Only satisfied by J = 3/2 states
- There are no J = 1/2 uuu, ddd, sss baryons with L = 0.

```
Three J = 3/2 states: uuu, ddd, sss
```

#### **2** Two quarks have same flavour: *uud*, *uus*, *ddu*, *dds*, *ssu*, *ssd*

- For the like quarks  $\psi_{\text{flavour}}$  is symmetric
- Require  $\psi_{
  m spin}$  to be symmetric under interchange of like quarks  $1\leftrightarrow 2$
- Satisfied by J = 3/2 and J = 1/2 states

Six J = 3/2 states and six J = 1/2 states: uud, uus, ddu, dds, ssu, ssd





 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Baryon Masses} \quad Baryon \ Mass \ Formula \ (L = 0) \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{qqq} = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + \mathcal{A}' \left( \frac{\vec{S}_1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{\vec{S}_2}{m_2} + \frac{\vec{S}_1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{\vec{S}_3}{m_3} + \frac{\vec{S}_2}{m_2} \cdot \frac{\vec{S}_3}{m_3} \right) \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A}' \\ \text{ is a constant} \\ \\ \text{Example: All quarks have the same mass, } m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_q \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_{qqq} = 3m_q + \mathcal{A}' \sum_{i < j} \frac{\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j}{m_q^2} \\ \\ \vec{S}^2 = \left( \vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2 + \vec{S}_3 \right)^2 = \vec{S}_1^2 + \vec{S}_2^2 + \vec{S}_3^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \\ \\ 2 \sum_{i < j} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = S(S+1) - 3\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1) = S(S+1) - \frac{9}{4} \\ \\ \\ \sum_{i < j} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = -\frac{3}{4} \left( J = \frac{1}{2} \right) \qquad \sum_{i < j} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = +\frac{3}{4} \left( J = \frac{3}{2} \right) \\ \\ \text{e.g. proton } (uud) \text{ compared with } \Delta (uud) - \text{ same quark content} \\ \\ \\ \mathcal{M}_p = 3m_u - \frac{3\mathcal{A}'}{4m_u^2}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_\Delta = 3m_u + \frac{3\mathcal{A}'}{4m_u^2} \end{array}$ 



## Hadron masses in QCD

- Calculation of hadron masses in QCD is a hard problem can't use perturbation theory.
- Need to solve field equations exactly only feasible on a discrete lattice of space-time points.
- Needs specialised supercomputing (Pflops) + clever techniques.
- Current state of the art (after 40 years of work)...



## **Baryon Magnetic Moments**

Magnetic dipole moments arise from

- the orbital motion of charged quarks
- the intrinsic spin-related magnetic moments of the quarks.

#### Orbital Motion

Classically, current loop

Quantum mechanically, get the same result

$$\hat{\mu} = g_L \frac{q}{2m} \hat{L}_z$$

 $g_L$  is the "g-factor"  $g_L = 1$  charged particles  $g_L = 0$  neutral particles

#### Intrinsic Spin

The magnetic moment operator due to the intrinsic spin of a particle is

$$\hat{\mu} = g_s \frac{q}{2m} \hat{S}_z$$

 $g_s$  is the "spin g-factor"  $g_s = 2$  for Dirac spin 1/2 point-like particles.

-mv

## Baryon Magnetic Moments

The magnetic dipole moment is the maximum measurable component of the magnetic dipole moment operator

 $\mu_{L} = \left\langle \psi_{\text{space}} \left| g_{L} \frac{q}{2m} \hat{L}_{z} \right| \psi_{\text{space}} \right\rangle \qquad \mu_{s} = \left\langle \psi_{\text{spin}} \left| g_{s} \frac{q}{2m} \hat{S}_{z} \right| \psi_{\text{spin}} \right\rangle$ For an electron  $\mu_{L} = -g_{L} \frac{e}{2m_{e}} \hbar L \qquad \qquad \mu_{s} = -g_{s} \frac{e}{2m_{e}} \frac{\hbar}{2}$   $= -\mu_{B} L \qquad \qquad = -\mu_{B}$ 

where  $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e$  is the Bohr Magneton

Observed difference from  $g_s = 2$  is due to higher order corrections in QED

$$\mu_{s} = -\mu_{B} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + O(\alpha^{2}) + \dots \right] \qquad \alpha = \frac{e^{2}}{4\pi} \sim \frac{1}{137}$$

### Baryon Magnetic Moments Proton and Neutron

If the proton and neutron were point-like particles,

$$\mu_L = g_L \frac{e}{2m_p} \hbar L \qquad \qquad \mu_s = g_s \frac{e}{2m_p} \frac{\hbar}{2} = \frac{1}{2} g_s \mu_N$$

where  $\mu_N = e\hbar/2m_p$  is the Nuclear Magneton

Observation shows that p and n are not point-like  $\Rightarrow$  evidence for quarks.  $\Rightarrow$  use quark model to estimate baryon magnetic moments.

### Baryon Magnetic Moments in the Quark Model

Assume that bound quarks within baryons behave as Dirac point-like spin 1/2particles with fractional charge  $q_a$ .

Then quarks will have magnetic dipole moment operator and magnitude:

 $\vec{\mu}_q = \frac{q_q}{m_q} \hat{S}_z \qquad \mu_q = \left\langle \psi_{\rm spin}^q \left| \frac{q_q}{m_q} \hat{S}_z \right| \psi_{\rm spin}^q \right\rangle = \frac{q_q \hbar}{2m_q}$ where  $m_q$  is the quark mass.  $\mu_{u} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e\hbar}{2m_{u}}, \quad \mu_{d} = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{e\hbar}{2m_{d}}, \quad \mu_{s} = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{e\hbar}{2m_{s}}$ Therefore For quarks bound within an L = 0 baryon, the baryon magnetic moment is the expectation value of the sum of the individual quark magnetic moment operators:  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{baryon}} = \frac{q_1}{m_1} \hat{S}_{1z} + \frac{q_2}{m_2} \hat{S}_{2z} + \frac{q_3}{m_2} \hat{S}_{3z}; \qquad \mu_{\text{baryon}} = \left\langle \psi^B_{\text{spin}} \left| \hat{\mu}_B \right| \psi^B_{\text{spin}} \right\rangle$ where  $\psi^{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{spin}}$  is the baryon spin wavefunction. 8. Quark Model of Hadrons Prof. Alex Mitov

### Baryon Magnetic Moments in the Quark Model

**Example:** Magnetic moment of a proton

30

### Baryon Magnetic Moments in the Quark Model

Repeat for the other L = 0 baryons. Predict  $\frac{\mu_n}{\mu_p} = -\frac{2}{3}$ 

compared to the experimentally measured value of -0.685

<b>p</b> (uud)	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_{u} - \frac{1}{3}\mu_{d}$	+2.79	+2.793
<b>n</b> (ddu)	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_d - \frac{1}{3}\mu_u$	-1.86	-1.913
$\Lambda$ (uds)	$\mu_{s}$	-0.61	$-0.614\pm0.005$
$\Sigma^+$ (uus)	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_u - \frac{1}{3}\mu_s$	+2.68	$+2.46\pm0.01$
$\Xi^0$ (ssu)	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_s - \frac{1}{3}\mu_u$	-1.44	$-1.25\pm0.014$
$\Xi^{-}$ (ssd)	$\frac{4}{3}\mu_s - \frac{1}{3}\mu_d$	-0.51	$-0.65\pm0.01$
$\Omega^{-}$ (sss)	$3\mu_s$	-1.84	$-2.02\pm0.05$

#### Prof. Alex Mitov

8. Quark Model of Hadrons

#### 31

## Hadron Decays

- Hadrons are eigenstates of the strong force.
- Hadrons will decay via the strong interaction to lighter mass states if energetically feasible (i.e. mass of parent > mass of daughters).
- And, angular momentum and parity must be conserved in strong decays.

#### **Examples:**



 $egin{array}{lll} \Delta^{++} o p \pi^+ \ m(\Delta^{++}) > m(p) + m(\pi^+) \ _{1231} & _{938} & _{140 \; {
m MeV}} \end{array}$ 

## Hadron Decays

Also need to check for identical particles in the final state.

Examples: $\omega^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi$ $m(\omega^0) > m(\pi^0) +$ $_{782}$ 135	- m(π <sup>0</sup> ) m(ω <sup>-</sup> 135 MeV	$\omega^{0}  ightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}) > m(\pi^{+}) + m(\pi^{-})$	<b>τ<sup>0</sup></b> -) + m(π <sup>0</sup> ) 135 MeV
Prof. Alex Mitov	8. Quark Model of Hadro	งทร	، ت، المراجب ال 33

## Hadron Decays

Hadrons can also decay via the electromagnetic interaction. **Examples:** 

$ ho^0  o \pi^0 \gamma$	$\mathbf{\Sigma^0}  ightarrow \mathbf{\Lambda^0} \gamma$
$m( ho^{0}) > m(\pi^{0}) + m(\gamma)$	$m(\Sigma^0) > m(\Lambda^0) + m(\gamma)$

The lightest mass states  $(p, K^{\pm}, K^0, \overline{K}^0, \Lambda, n)$  require a change of quark flavour in the decay and therefore decay via the weak interaction (see later). Prof. Alex Mitov 8. Quark Model of Hadrons 34
# Summary of light (uds) hadrons

- Baryons and mesons are composite particles (complicated).
- However, the naive Quark Model can be used to make predictions for masses/magnetic moments.
- The predictions give reasonably consistent values for the constituent quark masses:

	$m_{u/d}$	m <sub>s</sub>
Meson Masses	<b>307</b> MeV	<b>487</b> MeV
Baryon Masses	$364  {\rm MeV}$	$537~{\rm MeV}$
Baryon Magnetic Moments	$336  {\rm MeV}$	$509~{\rm MeV}$
$m_u \sim m_d \sim 335 \text{ MeV},$	$m_s \sim 1$	$510 \mathrm{MeV}$

- Hadrons will decay via the strong interaction to lighter mass states if energetically feasible.
- Hadrons can also decay via the EM interaction.
- The lightest mass states require a change of quark flavour to decay and therefore decay via the weak interaction (see later).



### Heavy hadrons The November Revolution



### Heavy hadrons Charmonium



### Heavy hadrons Charmonium





### Charmonium

 $c\bar{c}$  bound states produced directly in  $e^+e^-$  collisions must have the same spin and parity as the photon



However, expect that a whole spectrum of bound  $c\bar{c}$  states should exist (analogous to  $e^+e^-$  bound states, positronium)



39

### The Charmonium System





# The Charmonium System

Knowing the  $c\bar{c}$  energy levels provides a probe of the QCD potential.

- Because QCD is a theory of a strong confining force (self-interacting gluons), it is very difficult to calculate the exact form of the QCD potential from first principles.
- However, it is possible to experimentally "determine" the QCD potential by finding an appropriate form which gives the observed charmonium states.
- In practise, the QCD potential

$$V_{\rm QCD} = -\frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + kr$$

with  $\alpha_s = 0.2$  and  $k = 1 \text{ GeV fm}^{-1}$  provides a good description of the experimentally observed levels in the charmonium system.

# Why is the $J/\psi$ so narrow?



The width depends on whether the decay to lightest mesons containing c quarks,  $D^{-}(d\bar{c})$ ,  $D^{+}(c\bar{d})$ , is kinematically possible or not:

 $m(D^{\pm}) = 1869.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}$ 



# **Charmed Hadrons**

The existence of the c quark  $\Rightarrow$  expect to see charmed mesons and baryons (i.e. containing a c quark).

Extend quark symmetries to 3 dimensions:





### Bottomonium

- Bottomonium is the analogue of charmonium for *b* quark.
- Bottomonium spectrum well described by same QCD potential as used for charmonium.
- Evidence that QCD potential does not depend on quark type.



# **Bottom Hadrons**

Extend quark symmetries to 4 dimensions (difficult to draw!)

#### **Examples:**

**Mesons**  $(J^P = 0^-)$  :  $B^-(b\bar{u}); B^0(\bar{b}d); B^0_s(\bar{b}s); B^-_s(b\bar{c})$ The  $B_c^-$  is the heaviest hadron discovered so far:  $m(B_c^-) = 6.4 \pm 0.4$  GeV  $(J^P = 1^-)$  :  $B^{*-}(b\bar{u}); B^{*0}(\bar{b}d); B^{*0}_{s}(\bar{b}s)$ The mass of the  $B^*$  mesons is only 50 MeV above the B meson mass. Expect

only electromagnetic decays  $B^* \rightarrow B\gamma$ .

#### **Baryons**

$$\left(J^P=\frac{1}{2}^+\right):$$

 $\Lambda_b(bud); \Sigma_b(buu); \Xi_b(bus)$ 

Prof. Alex Mitov

#### 8. Quark Model of Hadrons

# Summary of heavy hadrons

- c and b quarks were first observed in bound state resonances ("onia").
- Consequences of the existence of c and b quarks are ٠
  - Spectra of  $c\bar{c}$  (charmonium) and  $b\bar{b}$  (bottomonium) bound states
  - Peaks in  $R = rac{\sigma(e^+e^- o ext{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- o \mu^+\mu^-)}$ ۰
  - Existence of mesons and baryons containing c and b quarks
- The majority of charm and bottom hadrons decay via the weak interaction ٠ (strong and electromagnetic decays are forbidden by energy conservation).
- The t quark is very heavy and decays rapidly via the weak interaction ۲ before a  $t\bar{t}$  bound state (or any other hadron) can be formed.

$$au_t \sim 10^{-25}\,\mathrm{s}~t_{
m hadronisation} \sim 10^{-22}\,\mathrm{s}$$

Rapid decay because m(t) > m(W) so weak interaction is no longer weak.

$$\begin{pmatrix} m(u) = 335 \text{ MeV} \\ m(d) = 335 \text{ MeV} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m(c) = 1.5 \text{ GeV} \\ m(s) = 510 \text{ MeV} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m(t) = 175 \text{ GeV} \\ m(b) = 4.5 \text{ GeV} \end{pmatrix}$$

### Tetraquarks and Pentaquarks

(non-examinable)

Quark Model of Hadrons is not limited to  $q\bar{q}$  or qqq content. Recent observations from *LHCb* show unquestionable discovery of pentaquark states, PRL 115, 072001 (2015).



### Summary

- Evidence for hadron sub-structure quarks
- Hadron wavefunctions and allowed states
- Hadron masses and magnetic moments
- Hadron decays (strong, EM, weak)
- Heavy hadrons: charmonium and bottomonium
- Recent tetraquark and pentaquark discoveries

Problem Sheet: q.17-22

Up next... Section 9: The Weak Force



# In this section...

#### • The charged current weak interaction

- Four-fermion interactions
- Massive propagators and the strength of the weak interaction
- C-symmetry and Parity violation
- Lepton universality
- Quark interactions and the CKM



### The Weak Interaction

Prof. Alex Mitov



4

9. The Weak Force

# **Boson Self-Interactions**

- In QCD the gluons carry colour charge.
- In the weak interaction the  $W^\pm$  and Z bosons carry the weak charge
- $W^{\pm}$  also carry the electric charge



(The list above is complete as far as weak self-interactions are concerned, but we have still not seen all the weak

9. The Weak Force



# Fermi Theory The old ("imperfect") idea

Weak interaction taken to be a "4-fermion contact interaction"

- No propagator
- Coupling strength given by the Fermi constant G<sub>F</sub>
- $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

 $\beta$ -decay in Fermi Theory







# Weak Charged Current: $W^{\pm}$ Boson

#### Neutrino Scattering with a Massive W Boson

Replace contact interaction by massive boson exchange diagram:



Fermi theory

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 2\pi G_F^2 \frac{E_e^2}{(2\pi)^3}$$

Standard Model

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = 2\pi G_F^2 \frac{E_e^2}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{m_W^2}{m_W^2 - q^2}\right)^2$$

with  $|\vec{q}^2| = 4E_e^2\sin^2\theta/2$ , where  $\theta$  is the scattering angle.

Integrate to give

$$\sigma = \frac{G_F^2 s}{\pi} \quad s \ll m_W^2$$
$$\sigma = \frac{G_F^2 m_W^2}{\pi} \quad s \gg m_W^2$$

see Appendix G

11

Cross-section is now well behaved at high energies.

Prof. Alex Mitov

9. The Weak Force

# Spin and helicity

Consider a free particle of constant momentum,  $\vec{p}$ 

- Total angular momentum,  $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$  is always conserved
- The orbital angular momentum,  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$  is perpendicular to  $\vec{p}$
- The spin angular momentum,  $\vec{S}$  can be in any direction relative to  $\vec{p}$
- The value of spin  $\vec{S}$  along  $\vec{p}$  is always constant

The sign of the component of spin along the direction of motion is known as the "helicity",  $\vec{S}.\vec{p}$ 

$$h = \frac{S.\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

Taking spin 1/2 as an example:



ロト (四) (注) (注) (注) 正 の((

# The Wu Experiment

 $\beta$  decay of  ${}^{60}CO \rightarrow {}^{60}Ni + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$ 



# The Weak Interaction and Helicity

The weak interaction distinguishes between left- and right-handed states. This is an experimental observation, which we need to build into the Standard Model.



# $\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \mp \frac{v}{c} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for a lepton} & \rightarrow \text{ coupling to RH particles vanishes} \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \pm \frac{v}{c} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for an antilepton} & \rightarrow \text{ coupling to LH antiparticles vanishes} \end{array}$

#### $\Rightarrow$ right-handed $\nu$ 's do not exist left-handed $\bar{\nu}$ 's do not exist

Even if they did exist, they would be unobservable.

# Charge Conjugation

C-symmetry: the physics for +Q should be the same as for -Q. This is true for QED and QCD, but not the Weak force...

LH e <sup>-</sup> EM, Weak	$\xrightarrow{\text{Charge Conjugation}}  LH \ e^+ \\ EM, \ \overline{Weak}$		
RH e <sup>−</sup> <i>EM, <del>Weak</del></i>	$\xrightarrow{\text{Charge Conjugation}}  RH \ e^+ \\ EM, \ Weak$		
LH $ u_e$ Weak	$\xrightarrow{\text{Charge Conjugation}}  LH \ \bar{\nu}_e$ $\xrightarrow{Weak}$		
C-symmetry is maximally violated in the weak interaction.			
Prof. Alex Mitov	9. The Weak Force	، ۵۰۰ ۵۰۰ ۲۵۰ ۲۵۰ ۲۵ 15	
Parity Violation			
Parity is always conserved in the strong and EM interactions			

 $\eta 
ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$   $\eta 
ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

# **Parity Violation**



All weak charged current lepton interactions can be described by the W boson propagator and the weak vertex:



• W bosons only "couple" to the (left-handed) lepton and neutrino within the same generation  $(e^{-})(\mu^{-})(\tau^{-})$ 

• Coupling constant 
$$g_W$$
  $\alpha_W = \frac{g_W^2}{4\pi}$   
Prof. Alex Mitor 9. The Weak Force 18



### $\mu$ Decay

- Muons are fundamental leptons  $(m_{\mu} \sim 206 m_e)$
- Electromagnetic decay  $\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \gamma$  is not observed (branching ratio  $< 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  the EM interaction does not change flavour.
- Only the weak CC interaction changes lepton type, but only within a generation. "Lepton number conservation" for each lepton generation.

• Muons decay weakly: 
$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$$



As  $m_{\mu} \ll m_W$  can safely use Fermi theory to calculate decay width (analogous to nuclear  $\beta$  decay).

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

### $\mu$ Decay

Fermi theory gives decay width  $\propto m_{\mu}^{5}$  (Sargent Rule)

However, more complicated phase space integration (previously neglected kinetic energy of recoiling nucleus) and taking account of helicity/spin gives different constants

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\mu}} = \frac{G_F^2}{192\pi^3} m_{\mu}^5$$

• Muon mass and lifetime known with high precision.

 $m_{\mu} = 105.6583715 \pm 0.0000035 \,\,{
m MeV}$ 

 $\tau_{\mu} = (2.1969811 \pm 0.0000022) \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{s}$ 

• Use muon decay to fix strength of weak interaction  $G_F$ 

$$G_F = (1.16632 \pm 0.00002) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

•  $G_F$  is one of the best determined fundamental quantities in particle physics. Prof. Alex Mitov 9. The Weak Force 21

### au Decay

The 
$$au$$
 mass is relatively large  $m_{ au} = 1.77686 \pm 0.00012$  GeV

Since  $m_{ au} > m_{\mu}, m_{\pi}, m_{p}, ...$  there are a number of possible decay modes



Measure the  $\tau$  branching fractions as:

 $\tau^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\tau \quad 17.83 \pm 0.04\%$   $\tau^- \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau \quad 17.41 \pm 0.04\%$   $\tau^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons} \quad 64.76 \pm 0.06\%$ x Mitoy
9. The Weak Force
22

Prof. Alex Mitov



# Universality of Weak Coupling

Compare  $G_F$  measured from  $\mu^-$  decay with that from nuclear  $\beta$  decay





# **Quark Mixing**





### Quark Mixing



Prof. Alex Mitov



### Summary of the Weak CC Vertex

All weak charged current quark interactions can be described by the W boson propagator and the weak vertex:

$$q = d, s, b \longrightarrow g_W V_{qq'}$$
$$q' = u, c, t$$

The Standard Model Weak CC Quark Vertex

+ antiparticles

- $W^{\pm}$  bosons always change quark flavour
- $W^{\pm}$  prefers to couple to quarks in the same generation, but quark mixing means that cross-generation coupling can occur.

Crossing two generations is less probable than one.

*W*-lepton coupling constant  $\longrightarrow g_W$ 

*W*-quark coupling constant  $\longrightarrow g_W V_{CKM}$ 

### Summary

#### Weak interaction (charged current)

- Weak force mediated by massive W bosons  $m_W = 80.385 \pm 0.015 \; {
  m GeV}$
- Weak force intrinsically stronger than EM interaction

$$\alpha_W \sim \frac{1}{30} \qquad \alpha_{EM} \sim \frac{1}{137}$$

- Universal coupling to quarks and leptons, but...
- Quarks take part in the interaction as mixtures of the mass eigenstates
- Parity & C-symmetry can be violated due to the helicity structure of the interaction
- Strength of the weak interaction given by

```
G_F^{\mu} = (1.16632 \pm 0.00002) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}
```

from  $\mu$  decay.

Problem Sheet: q.23-25

Up next... Section 10: Electroweak Unification Prof. Alex Mitov 9. The Weak Force

<100 (100)

### 10. Electroweak Unification Particle and Nuclear Physics



# In this section...

- GWS model
- Allowed vertices
- Revisit Feynman diagrams
- Experimental tests of Electroweak theory



### Electroweak gauge theory

(non-examinable)

• Postulate invariance under a gauge transformation like:

$$\psi \to \psi' = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}g\vec{\sigma}.\vec{\Lambda}(\vec{r},t)}\psi$$

an "SU(2)" transformation ( $\sigma$  are 2x2 matrices).

- Operates on the state of "weak isospin" a "rotation" of the isospin state.
- Invariance under SU(2) transformations  $\Rightarrow$  three massless gauge bosons  $(W_1, W_2, W_3)$  whose couplings are well specified.
- They also have self-couplings.

But this doesn't quite work...

Predicts W and Z have the same couplings – not seen experimentally!

### Electroweak gauge theory

The solution...

- Unify QED and the weak force  $\Rightarrow$  electroweak model
- "SU(2)xU(1)" transformation U(1) operates on the "weak hypercharge"  $Y = 2(Q - I_3)$ SU(2) operates on the state of "weak isospin, I"
- Invariance under SU(2)×U(1) transformations ⇒ four massless gauge bosons W<sup>+</sup>, W<sup>-</sup>, W<sub>3</sub>, B
- The two neutral bosons  $W_3$  and B then mix to produce the physical bosons Z and  $\gamma$
- Photon properties must be the same as QED  $\Rightarrow$  predictions of the couplings of the Z in terms of those of the W and  $\gamma$
- Still need to account for the masses of the W and Z. This is the job of the Higgs mechanism (later).

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

10. Electroweak Unification

# The GWS Model





The Glashow, Weinberg and Salam model treats EM and weak interactions as different manifestations of a single unified electroweak force (Nobel Prize 1979)

Start with 4 massless bosons  $W^+$ ,  $W_3$ ,  $W^-$  and B. The neutral bosons mix to give physical bosons (the particles we see), i.e. the  $W^{\pm}$ , Z, and  $\gamma$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} W^+ \\ W_3 \\ W^- \end{pmatrix}; B \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} W^+ \\ Z \\ W^- \end{pmatrix}; \gamma$$

Physical fields:  $W^+$ , Z,  $W^-$  and A (photon).

$$Z = W_3 \cos \theta_W - B \sin \theta_W$$

 $A = W_3 \sin \theta_W + B \cos \theta_W$ 

$$\theta_W$$
 Weak Mixing Angle

 $W^{\pm}$ , Z "acquire" mass via the Higgs mechanism.

# The GWS Model

The beauty of the GWS model is that it makes exact predictions of the  $W^{\pm}$  and Z masses and of their couplings with only 3 free parameters.

Couplings given by  $\alpha_{EM}$  and  $\theta_W$ 



### Example — mass relation

(non-examinable)

8

- As a result of the mixing, we require that the mass eigenstates should be the Z and γ, and the mass of the photon be zero.
- We then compute the matrix elements of the mass operator:

$$m_{Z}^{2} = \langle W_{3} \cos \theta_{W} - B \sin \theta_{W} | \hat{M}^{2} | W_{3} \cos \theta_{W} - B \sin \theta_{W} \rangle$$

$$= m_{W}^{2} \cos^{2} \theta_{W} + m_{B}^{2} \sin^{2} \theta_{W} - 2m_{WB}^{2} \cos \theta_{W} \sin \theta_{W}$$

$$m_{\gamma}^{2} = \langle W_{3} \sin \theta_{W} + B \cos \theta_{W} | \hat{M}^{2} | W_{3} \sin \theta_{W} + B \cos \theta_{W} \rangle$$

$$= m_{W}^{2} \sin^{2} \theta_{W} + m_{B}^{2} \cos^{2} \theta_{W} + 2m_{WB}^{2} \cos \theta_{W} \sin \theta_{W} = 0$$

$$m_{Z\gamma}^{2} = \langle W_{3} \cos \theta_{W} - B \sin \theta_{W} | \hat{M}^{2} | W_{3} \sin \theta_{W} + B \cos \theta_{W} \rangle$$

$$= (m_{W}^{2} - m_{B}^{2}) \sin \theta_{W} \cos \theta_{W} + m_{WB}^{2} (\cos^{2} \theta_{W} - \sin^{2} \theta_{W}) = 0$$
Solving these three equations gives

$$m_Z = \frac{m_W}{\cos \theta_W}$$

10. Electroweak Unification

Prof. Alex Mitov

### Couplings

- Slightly simplified see Part III for better treatment. Starting from  $Z = W_3 \cos \theta_W - B \sin \theta_W$  $A = W_3 \sin \theta_W + B \cos \theta_W$
- $W_3$  couples to  $I_3$  with strength  $g_W$  and B couples to  $Y = 2(Q I_3)$  with g'
- So, coupling of A (photon) is

$$g_W I_3 \sin \theta_W + g' 2(Q - I_3) \cos \theta_W = Qe \quad \text{for all } I_3$$

$$\Rightarrow g' = \frac{g_W \tan \theta_W}{2}$$
 and  $g' \cos \theta_W = \frac{e}{2} \Rightarrow g_W = \frac{e}{\sin \theta_W}$ 

• The couplings of the Z are therefore

$$g_W I_3 \cos \theta_W - g' 2(Q - I_3) \sin \theta_W = \frac{e}{\sin \theta_W \cos \theta_W} \left[ I_3 - Q \sin^2 \theta_W \right]$$
$$= g_Z \left[ I_3 - Q \sin^2 \theta_W \right]$$

• For right-handed fermions,  $I_3 = 0$ , while for left-handed fermions  $I_3 = +1/2(\nu, u, c, t)$  or  $I_3 = -1/2(e^-, \mu^-, \tau^-, d', s', b')$ ; Q is charge in units of eProf. Alex Mitov 10. Electroweak Unification

# **Evidence for GWS Model**



# **Evidence for GWS Model**



- Precision Measurements of the Standard Model (1989-2000)
   LEP e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider provided many precision measurements of the Standard Model.
- Wide variety of different processes consistent with GWS model predictions and measure same value of

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23113 \pm 0.00015$$

 $\theta_W \sim 29^\circ$ 

10. Electroweak Unification

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \langle a \rangle \rangle$ 

#### The Weak NC Vertex All weak neutral current interactions can be described by the Z boson propagator and the weak vertices: The Standard Model ZWeak NC Lepton $u_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ Vertex $u_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_ au$ + antiparticles The Standard Model $u, d, s, c, b, t \longrightarrow$ Weak NC Quark Vertex u, d, s, c, b, t +antiparticles • Z never changes type of particle • Z never changes quark or lepton flavour Z couplings are a mixture of EM and weak couplings, and therefore depend • on $\sin^2 \theta_W$ . Prof. Alex Mitov 10. Electroweak Unification 13 Examples $Z ightarrow u_e ar u_e, u_\mu ar u_\mu, u_ au ar u_ au$ $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \tau^+\tau^ Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ $e^-, \mu^-, \tau^ \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ qZZ $e^+, \mu^+, \tau^+$ $\bar{\nu}_e, \bar{\nu}_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\tau$ $\bar{q}$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^ \nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^ \mu^{-}$ $e^+$ $\nu_e$ $\nu_e$ ZZ $\mu^+$ $e^{-}$ $e^{-}$ $e^{-}$

Prof. Alex Mitov 10. Electroweak Unification



### Experimental Tests of the Electroweak model at LEP

The Large Electron Positron (LEP) collider at CERN provided high precision measurements of the Standard Model (1989-2000).



Prof. Alex Mitov

<sup>10.</sup> Electroweak Unification




### The Z Resonance

Breit-Wigner cross-section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  (where  $f\bar{f}$  is any fermion-antifermion pair) Centre-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s} = E_{CM} = E_{e^+} + E_{e^-}$  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{g\pi}{E_e^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{(E_{CM} - m_Z)^2 + \frac{\Gamma_Z^2}{4}}$ with  $g = \frac{2J_Z + 1}{(2J_{e^-} + 1)(2J_{e^+} + 1)} = \frac{3}{4}$   $J_Z = 1; J_{e^\pm} = \frac{1}{2}$ giving  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{3\pi}{4E_e^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{(E_{CM} - m_Z)^2 + \frac{\Gamma_Z^2}{4}} = \frac{3\pi}{s} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{(\sqrt{s} - m_Z)^2 + \frac{\Gamma_Z^2}{4}}$  $\Gamma_Z$  is the total decay width, i.e. the sum over the partial widths for different decay modes  $\Gamma_Z = \Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{\mu\mu} + \Gamma_{\tau\tau} + \Gamma_{q\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}$ Prof. Alex Mirov 10. Electroweak Unification 23

### The Z Resonance

At the peak of the resonance  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$ :

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z \to f\bar{f}) = rac{12\pi}{m_Z^2} rac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{\Gamma_Z^2}$$

Hence, for all fermion/antifermion pairs in the final state

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z \to \text{anything}) = \frac{12\pi}{m_Z^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}}{\Gamma_Z} \qquad \Gamma_{f\bar{f}} = \Gamma_Z$$

Compare to the QED cross-section at  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$   $\sigma_{\text{QED}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}$   $\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \text{anything})}{\sigma_{\text{QED}}} = \frac{9}{\alpha^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}}{\Gamma_Z} \sim 5700$  $\Gamma_{ee} = 85 \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma_Z = 2.5 \text{ GeV}, \quad \alpha = 1/137$ 

### Measurement of $m_Z$ and $\Gamma_Z$

- Run LEP at various centre-of-mass energies  $(\sqrt{s})$  close to the peak of the Z resonance and measure  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q})$
- Determine the parameters of the resonance:



### Measurement of $m_Z$ and $\Gamma_Z$

 $m_Z$  was measured with precision 2 parts in  $10^5$ 

• Need a detailed understanding of the accelerator and astrophysics.

Tidal distortions of the Earth by the Moon cause the rock surrounding LEP to be distorted – changing the radius by 0.15 mm (total 4.3 km). This is enough to change the centre-of-mass energy.



LHC ring is stretched by 0.1mm by the 7.5 magnitude earthquake in New Zealand, Nov 2016. Tidal forces can also be seen.

Also need a train timetable. in New Zealand, Leakage currents from the TCV rail via Lake Geneva f

Leakage currents from the TGV rail via Lake Geneva follow the path of least resistance... using LEP as a conductor.

Accounting for these effects (and many others):  $m_Z = 91.1875 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}$   $\Gamma_Z = 2.4952 \pm 0.0023 \text{ GeV}$   $\sigma_{q\bar{q}}^0 = 41.450 \pm 0.037 \text{ nb}$ Prof. Alex Mitov 10. Electroweak Unification

### Number of Generations

- Currently know of three generations of fermions. Masses of quarks and leptons increase with generation. Neutrinos are approximately massless (or are they?)  $\begin{pmatrix}
  e^{-} \\
  \nu_{e}
  \end{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
  \mu^{-} \\
  \nu_{\mu}
  \end{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
  \tau^{-} \\
  \nu_{\tau}
  \end{pmatrix}
  \qquad \begin{pmatrix}
  u \\
  d
  \end{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
  c \\
  s
  \end{pmatrix}
  \begin{pmatrix}
  t \\
  b
  \end{pmatrix}$
- Could there be more generations? e.g.  $\begin{pmatrix} t' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L \\ \nu_l \end{pmatrix}$
- The Z boson couples to all fermions, including neutrinos. Therefore, the total decay width,  $\Gamma_Z$ , has contributions from all fermions with  $m_f < m_Z/2$

$$\Gamma_{Z} = \Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{\mu\mu} + \Gamma_{\tau\tau} + \Gamma_{q\bar{q}} + \Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}}$$

with 
$$\Gamma_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = \Gamma_{\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e} + \Gamma_{\nu_\mu\bar{\nu}_\mu} + \Gamma_{\nu_\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau}$$

- If there were a fourth generation, it seems likely that the neutrino would be light, and, if so would be produced at LEP  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \nu_L \bar{\nu}_L$
- The neutrinos would not be observed directly, but could infer their presence from the effect on the Z resonance curve.
   Prof. Alex Mitov
   10. Electroweak Unification
   27

### Number of Generations

At the peak of the Z resonance,  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$ 

$$\sigma_{f\bar{f}}^{0} = \frac{12\pi}{m_{Z}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{\Gamma_{Z}^{2}}$$

A fourth generation neutrino would increase the Z decay rate and thus increase  $\Gamma_Z$ . As a result, a decrease in the measured peak cross-sections for the visible final states would be observed.

Measure the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  cross-sections for all visible decay models (i.e. all fermions apart from  $\nu\bar{\nu}$ )



### Number of Generations

• Have already measured  $m_Z$  and  $\Gamma_Z$  from the shape of the Breit-Wigner resonance. Therefore, obtain  $\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}$  from the peak cross-sections in each decay mode using  $12\pi\Gamma_{cr}\Gamma_{c\bar{c}}$ 

$$\sigma_{f\bar{f}}^{0} = \frac{12\pi}{m_Z^2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{f\bar{f}}}{\Gamma_Z^2}$$

Note, obtain  $\Gamma_{ee}$  from  $\sigma_{ee}^{0} = \frac{12\pi}{m_{\pi}^{2}}\frac{\Gamma_{ee}^{2}}{\Gamma_{\pi}^{2}}$ 

Can relate the partial widths to the measured total width (from the resonance curve)

$$\Gamma_{Z} = \Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{\mu\mu} + \Gamma_{\tau\tau} + \Gamma_{q\bar{q}} + N_{\nu}\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$$

where  $N_{\nu}$  is the number of neutrino species and  $\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$  is the partial width for a single neutrino species.

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

10. Electroweak Unification

### Number of Generations

The difference between the measured value of  $\Gamma_Z$  and the sum of the partial widths for visible final states gives the invisible width  $N_{\nu}\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$ 

<b>Γ</b> <sub>Z</sub>	2495.2±2.3 MeV
Γ <sub>ee</sub>	83.91±0.12 MeV
$\Gamma_{\mu\mu}$	$83.99{\pm}0.18~{\rm MeV}$
$\Gamma_{ au au}$	$84.08{\pm}0.22~{\rm MeV}$
$\Gamma_{qq}$	$1744.4{\pm}2.0~{\rm MeV}$
$N_{\nu}\Gamma_{\nu\nu}$	<b>499.0±1.5</b> MeV

In the Standard Model, calculate  $\Gamma_{\nu\nu}\sim 167~{\rm MeV}$ 

Therefore

$$N_{\nu} = rac{\Gamma_{
u
u}^{ ext{measured}}}{\Gamma_{
u
u}^{ ext{SM}}} = 2.984 \pm 0.008$$

 $\Rightarrow$  three generations of light neutrinos for

for  $m_{
u} < m_Z/2$ 

29





10. Electroweak Unification

Prof. Alex Mitov

(日) (四) (2) (2) (2) 2 9

<sup>34</sup> 

### Measurement of $m_W$ and $\Gamma_W$

Unlike  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ , W boson production at LEP was not a resonant process.  $m_W$  was measured by measuring the invariant mass in each event



### W Boson Decay Width

In the Standard Model, the W boson decay width is given by  $\Gamma(W^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}\bar{\nu}_{e}) = \frac{g_{W}^{2}m_{W}}{48\pi} = \frac{G_{F}m_{W}^{3}}{6\sqrt{2}\pi}$   $\mu\text{-decay:} \quad G_{F} = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \quad \text{LEP:} \quad m_{W} = 80.423 \pm 0.038 \text{ GeV}$   $\Rightarrow \Gamma(W^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}\bar{\nu}_{e}) = 227 \text{ MeV}$ Total width is the sum over all partial widths:  $W^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}\bar{\nu}_{e}, \ \mu^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}, \ \tau^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\tau},$   $W^{-} \rightarrow d'\bar{u}, \ s'\bar{c}, \qquad \times 3 \text{ for colour}$ If the W coupling to leptons and quarks is equal and there are 3 colours:

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i} \Gamma_{i} = (3 + 2 \times 3) \Gamma(W^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}) \sim 2.1 \text{ GeV}$$

Compare with measured value from LEP:  $\Gamma_{W}=2.12\pm0.11~{\rm GeV}$ 

- Universal coupling constant
- Yet more evidence for colour!
   Prof. Alex Mitov
   10. Elec

10. Electroweak Unification

### Summary of Electroweak Tests

Now have 5 precise measurements of fundamental parameters of the Standard Model

 $lpha_{EM} = 1/(137.03599976 \pm 0.00000050)$  (at  $q^2 = 0$ )  $G_F = (1.16632 \pm 0.00002) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$   $m_W = 80.385 \pm 0.015 \text{ GeV}$   $m_Z = 91.1875 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}$  $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23143 \pm 0.00015$ 

In the Standard Model, only 3 are independent.

The measurements are consistent, which is an incredibly powerful test of the Standard Model of Electroweak Interactions.

10. Electroweak Unification

37



### Summary Weak interaction with $W^{\pm}$ fails at high energy. ٠ Introduction of unified theory involving and relating Z and $\gamma$ can resolve ٩ the divergences. One new parameter, $\theta_W$ , allows predictions of Z couplings and mass ٩ relations. Extensively and successfully tested at LEP. Problem Sheet: q.26-27 Up next... Section 11: The Top Quark and the Higgs Mechanism Prof. Alex Mitov 10. Electroweak Unification 38



### In this section...

- Focus on the most recent discoveries of fundamental particles
- The top quark prediction & discovery
- The Higgs mechanism
- The Higgs discovery



### The Top Quark

The top quark is too heavy for  $Z \to t\bar{t}$  or  $W^+ \to t\bar{b}$  so not directly produced at LEP.

However, precise measurements of  $m_Z$ ,  $m_W$ ,  $\Gamma_Z$  and  $\Gamma_W$  are sensitive to the existence of virtual top quarks:



### The Top Quark

• The top quark was discovered in 1994 by the CDF experiment at the worlds (then) highest energy pp collider ( $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV), the Tevatron at Fermilab, US.



Final state  $W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ Mass reconstructed in a similar manner to  $m_W$ at LEP, i.e. measure jet/lepton energies/momenta.

- $V_{tb} \sim 1$ , so decay of top quark is  $\sim 100\%~t \rightarrow bW^+$
- $m_t \gg m_W$ , so the  $W^+$  is real. The weak decay is just as fast as a strong decay ( $\sim 10^{-25}$ s), so the quark has no time to hadronise

 $\Rightarrow$  there are no *t*-hadrons

- Possible top quark decays are  $t o bqar{q}$  or  $t o b\ell 
  u_\ell$
- In hadron collisions, multijet final states are the norm for rare processes it's much easier to look for leptonic decays, accompanied by *b*-quark jets.

### First observation of top (1995)



Prof. Alex Mitov

### Current status

Results from LHC as well as Tevatron. All consistent, and in agreement with indirect expectation from LEP data.



# Higgs mechanism and the Higgs Boson

11. The Top Quark and the Higgs Mechanism

• Recall – the Klein-Gordon equation for massive bosons is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 - \boldsymbol{m}^2 \right) \psi$$

- However, the term  $m^2\psi$  (or  $\frac{1}{2}m^2\psi^2$  in the Lagrangian formulation), is not gauge invariant.
- So in gauge field theories, the gauge bosons should be massless. OK for QED and QCD, but plainly not for  $W^{\pm}$  and Z.
- The Higgs mechanism tries to fix this. Imagine introducing a scalar Higgs field  $\phi$ , which has interactions with the  $W^{\pm}$  and Z fields, with coupling strength y, giving a term in Lagrangian  $y\phi\psi\psi$ .
- Looks like a mass term ( $\propto \psi^2$ ). Mass of the bosons becomes effectively related to their coupling to the Higgs field.
- Requires the vacuum (lowest energy state of space) to have a non-zero expectation value for the Higgs field. How can this come about?



### Higgs potential

Suppose the Higgs field  $\phi$  (actually a ٠ complex doublet) has self interactions vielding

$$V(\phi)={\it a}\phi^4-{\it b}\phi^2$$

The equilibrium point,  $\phi = 0$ , respects ۲ the symmetry, but is unstable.



- The stable equilibrium point is at  $|\phi_{GS}^2| = b/2a$ . The symmetry is ۲ "spontaneously broken".
- A weak boson propagating in the Higgs field will appear to have a mass ٠  $\sim \mathbf{y}\phi_{\rm GS}$ .
- Expanding about the ground state  $V(\phi_{\rm GS} + x) = V_{\rm min} + 2bx^2$
- So can get excitations of the Higgs field about the minimum. These form the physical Higgs scalar boson, H – the observable physical manifestation of the operation of the Higgs mechanism.

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

11. The Top Quark and the Higgs Mechanism

# Classical analogue of the Higgs mechanism

(non-examinable)

- Maxwell's equations lead to waves travelling at velocity c, hence to ۲ massless photons.
- Consider waves propagating in a charged plasma, with electron density nper unit volume.

 $\vec{J} = ne\vec{v}; \quad m_e \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} = e\vec{E} \quad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \vec{J}}{\partial t} = \frac{ne^2\vec{E}}{m_e}$ Plasma:

$$\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{E} = -\nabla^2 \vec{E} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \left( -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\partial \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{B}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \mu_0 \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\mu_0 n e^2 \vec{E}}{m_e} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \nabla^2 \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\mu_0 n e^2 \vec{E}}{m_e}$$

Compare with Klein-Gordon. Photon propagates with effective mass

$$m_{
m eff}^2 = rac{\hbar\mu_0 ne^2}{m_e c^2}$$
 Note  $m_{
m eff} \propto e$ , the coupling.

Prof. Alex Mitov

11. The Top Quark and the Higgs Mechanism

### Higgs theory summary

- Gauge bosons (and also fermions) are intrinsically massless, and need to be so to satisfy Gauge Invariance.
- Nevertheless, interactions with the Higgs field make particles look like they have mass.
- Apparent masses are controlled by free parameters called Yukawa Couplings (the strength of the coupling to the Higgs field)
- A Higgs Boson arises as an excitation of the Higgs field. It must be a scalar particle to make everything work.
- The Higgs Boson has a mass, but the mass is not predicted by the theory we have to find it experimentally.
- The Higgs Boson has couplings to all the particles to which it gives mass (and so has many ways it could decay), all fully calculable and determined by the theory as a function of its (a priori unknown) mass.

### Prof. Alex Mitov

11. The Top Quark and the Higgs Mechanism

11

### Higgs boson decays

- Higgs Boson interacts via couplings which are proportional to masses.
- Higgs boson therefore decays preferentially to the heaviest particles that are kinematically accessible, depending on its mass.



### Higgs decay mechanisms

Directly to two fundamental fermions or bosons, coupling to mass, e.g.



Indirectly to massless particles (photons or gluons) via massive loops



### Higgs at LEP

Higgs Production at LEP (Large Electron Positron Collider – 1990s):



In 2000, LEP operated with  $\sqrt{s} \sim 207$  GeV, therefore had the potential to discover Higgs boson if  $m_H < 116$  GeV.

Searches were conducted in many possible final states (different decays for Z and H). All negative.

Ultimately, LEP excluded a Higgs Boson with a mass below 114 GeV.

14



# The Large Hadron Collider

The LHC is a new proton-proton collider now running in the old LEP tunnel at CERN. In 2012 4 + 4 TeV; in 2015 6.5 + 6.5 TeV; ultimately 7 + 7 TeV



# ATLAS – a general purpose LHC detector



# Higgs Observations (August 2014)

Indirect indications from LEP that Higgs mass should be not far above 115 GeV.

Dominant decay modes are all difficult:

- bb, cc ٩ (swamped by QCD jets)
- $W^+W^-$ ,  $au^+ au^-$ ۲ (missing neutrinos)

Best options are the rare decays:

 $ZZ \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \ell^+ \ell^ \gamma\gamma$ 



Prof. Alex Mitov



### **Higgs Boson Discovery**



Convincing signal consistent with m(H) = 125 GeV - seen in multiple decay modes & in two experiments.

Is it the Higgs boson of the SM?

Need to check its quantum numbers (should be  $J^P = 0^+$ ).



### Higgs spin+parity?

Studied using angular distributions of decay products

So far it looks like the  $0^+$  SM Higgs.

Alternative spin-parity possibilities are disfavoured.



### Summary

Prof. Alex Mitov

- Top quark observed, and compatible with other precise electroweak measurements.
- Electroweak theory depends on the Higgs mechanism to endow particles with mass. This is a non-standard feature, which needs experimental verification.
- Higgs boson detected in 2012 at 125 GeV. It is **the** Higgs boson of the Electroweak Standard Model.

Work continues to determine all the Higgs properties precisely to see if any surprises are hiding...

### Problem Sheet: q.28

Up next...

Section 12: Beyond the Standard Model



### In this section...

- Summary of the Standard Model
- Problems with the Standard Model
- Neutrino oscillations
- Supersymmetry

2

# The Standard Model (2012)

### Matter: point-like spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Dirac fermions

Fermions		Fermion		Charge [e]	Mass
	<u>.</u>	Electron	$e^-$	-1	$0.511  {\rm MeV}$
	gen	Electron neutrino	$\nu_e$	0	$\sim$ 0
	$1^{st}$	Down quark	d	-1/3	<b>4.8</b> MeV
$\circ$ d s b		Up quark	и	+2/3	2.3 MeV
	<i>_</i> :	Muon	$\mu^{-}$	-1	<b>106</b> MeV
ω e μ τ	gen	Muon neutrino	$ u_{\mu}$	0	$\sim$ 0
	$\mathbf{p}$	Strange quark	5	-1/3	<b>95</b> MeV
	()	Charm quark	с	+2/3	<b>1.3</b> GeV
e µ c	<i>_</i> :	Tau	$\tau^{-}$	-1	$1.78~{ m GeV}$
	ger	Tau neutrino	$\nu_{\tau}$	0	$\sim$ 0
	$3^{\mathrm{rd}}$	Bottom quark	b	-1/3	$4.7~{\rm GeV}$
+ antiparticles		Top quark	t	+2/3	$173 \; {\rm GeV}$
					(ㅁ)(問)(편)(())
Prof. Alex Mitov	12. Be	yond the Standard Model			

# The Standard Model (2012)

### Forces: mediated by spin 1 bosons

Bosons *g y Bosons Bosons* 

Force	Particle	Mass
Electromagnetic	Photon $\gamma$	0
Strong	8 gluons g	0
Weak (CC)	$W^{\pm}$	$80.4~{\rm GeV}$
Weak (NC)	Ζ	$91.2  {\rm GeV}$

- The Standard Model also predicts the existence of a spin-0 Higgs boson which gives all particles their masses via its interactions. Evidence from LHC confirms this, with  $m_H \sim 125$  GeV.
- The Standard Model successfully describes all existing particle physics data, with the exception of one

 $\Rightarrow$  Neutrino Oscillations  $\Rightarrow$  Neutrinos have mass

In the SM, neutrinos are treated as massless; right-handed states do not exist  $\Rightarrow$  indication of physics Beyond the Standard Model

3



### Beyond the Standard Model – further unification??

Grand Unification Theories (GUTs) aim to unite the strong interaction with the electroweak interaction. Underpins many ideas about physics beyond the Standard Model.

The strength of the interactions depends on energy:



### Neutrino Oscillations

In 1998 the Super-Kamiokande experiment announced convincing evidence for neutrino oscillations implying that neutrinos have mass.



**DOWN** going UP going



Super-Kamiokande results indicate a deficit of  $u_{\mu}$  from the upwards direction. Upward neutrinos created further away from the detector.

- Interpreted as  $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$  oscillations 0
- Implies neutrino mixing and neutrinos have mass ۲ Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond the Standard Model

### **Detecting Neutrinos**

Neutrinos are detected by observing the lepton produced in charged current interactions with nuclei. e.g.  $\nu_e + N \rightarrow e^- + X$   $\bar{
u}_\mu + N \rightarrow \mu^+ + X$ 

### Size Matters:

- Neutrino cross-sections on nucleons are tiny;  $\sim 10^{-42} (E_{\nu}/~{\rm GeV}){
  m m}^2$ 0
- Neutrino mean free path in water  $\sim$  light-years. ٠
- ٩ Require very large mass, cheap and simple detectors.
- Water Čerenkov detection

### Čerenkov radiation

- Light is emitted when a charged particle traverses a dielectric medium 0
- A coherent wavefront forms when the velocity of a charged particle exceeds c/n (n =٠ refractive index)
- Čerenkov radiation is emitted in a cone i.e. at fixed angle with respect to the particle.



### Super-Kamiokande

Super-Kamiokande is a Water Čerenkov detector sited in Kamioka, Japan



Prof. Alex Mitov

12. Beyond the Standard Model

10

### Super-Kamiokande v deficit



### Neutrino Mixing

The quark states which take part in the weak interaction (d', s') are related to the flavour (mass) states (d, s)

Weak Eigenstates

 $\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_C & \sin \theta_C \\ -\sin \theta_C & \cos \theta_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \end{pmatrix}$  Mass Eigenstates Cabibbo angle  $\theta_C \sim 13^\circ$ 

Suppose the same thing happens for neutrinos. Consider only the first two generations for simplicity.

Weak Eigenstates  $\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$  Mass Eigenstates Mixing angle  $\theta$ = flavour eigenstates

e.g. in  $\pi^+$  decay produce  $\mu^+$  and  $u_\mu$  i.e. the neutrino state that couples to the weak interaction.

or expressing the mass eigenstates The  $\nu_{\mu}$  corresponds to a linear combination in terms of the weak eigenstates of the states with definite mass,  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ 

 $\nu_1 = +\nu_e \cos\theta - \nu_\mu \sin\theta$  $\nu_e = +\nu_1 \cos \theta + \nu_2 \sin \theta$  $u_{\mu} = -\nu_1 \sin \theta + \nu_2 \cos \theta$ Prof. Alex Mitov 12 Bev  $\nu_2 = +\nu_e \sin\theta + \nu_{\mu} \cos\theta$ 12. Beyond the Standard Model 12

Neutrino Mixing	
Prof Alex Mitoy 12 Beyond t	ده، ری، دی، یی دی. he Standard Model
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	en and ard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond to Neutrino Mixing	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	ווויזא איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond t	he Standard Model 13
Prof. Alex Mitov	he Standard Model 13

### Neutrino Mixing



### Neutrino Mixing

Suppose a muon neutrino with momentum  $\vec{p}$  is produced in a weak decay, e.g.  $\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ 

At t = 0, the wavefunction  $\psi(\vec{p}, t = 0) = \nu_{\mu}(\vec{p}) = \nu_{2}(\vec{p}) \cos \theta - \nu_{1}(\vec{p}) \sin \theta$ 

The time evolution of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  will be different if they have different masses

$$u_1(ec{p},t) = 
u_1(ec{p}) \mathrm{e}^{-iE_1 t} ; \quad 
u_2(ec{p},t) = 
u_2(ec{p}) \mathrm{e}^{-iE_2 t}$$

After time *t*, state will in general be a mixture of  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_\mu$   $\psi(\vec{p}, t) = \nu_2(\vec{p})e^{-iE_2t}\cos\theta - \nu_1(\vec{p})e^{-iE_1t}\sin\theta$   $= [\nu_e(\vec{p})\sin\theta + \nu_\mu(\vec{p})\cos\theta]e^{-iE_2t}\cos\theta - [\nu_e(\vec{p})\cos\theta - \nu_\mu(\vec{p})\sin\theta]e^{-iE_1t}\sin\theta$   $= \nu_\mu(\vec{p})[\cos^2\theta e^{-iE_2t} + \sin^2\theta e^{-iE_1t}] + \nu_e(\vec{p})[\sin\theta\cos\theta(e^{-iE_2t} - e^{-iE_1t})]$   $= c_\mu\nu_\mu(\vec{p}) + c_e\nu_e(\vec{p})$ Prof. Alex Mitov 12. Beyond the Standard Model

### Neutrino Mixing

Probability of oscillating into  $\nu_e$ 

$$P(\nu_e) = |c_e|^2 = \left|\sin\theta\cos\theta\left(e^{-iE_2t} - e^{-iE_1t}\right)\right|^2$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{4}\sin^2 2\theta \left(e^{-iE_2t} - e^{-iE_1t}\right)\left(e^{iE_2t} - e^{iE_1t}\right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{4}\sin^2 2\theta \left(2 - e^{i(E_2 - E_1)t} - e^{-i(E_2 - E_1)t}\right)$   
=  $\sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left[\frac{(E_2 - E_1)t}{2}\right]$ 

But

for  $m \ll E$  $1 + x \sim (1 + x/2)^2$ when x is small, can ignore  $x^2$  term

 $\Rightarrow P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}) = \sin^{2} 2\theta \sin^{2} \left[ \frac{(m_{2}^{2} - m_{1}^{2})t}{4E} \right]$ Prof. Alex Mitov
12. Beyond the Standard Model

 $E = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2} = \vec{p} \sqrt{1 + \frac{m^2}{\vec{p}^2}} \sim \vec{p} + \frac{m^2}{2\vec{p}}$ 

 $\Rightarrow E_2(ec{p}) - E_1(ec{p}) \sim rac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2ec{p}} \sim rac{m_2^2 - m_1^2}{2ec{F}}$ 

14

### Neutrino Mixing



### Neutrino Mixing – Comments

- Neutrinos almost certainly have mass
- Neutrino oscillation only sensitive to mass differences
- More evidence for neutrino oscillations

Solar neutrinos (SNO experiment)

Reactor neutrinos (KamLand)

suggest  $|m_2^2 - m_1^2| \sim 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ .

- More recent experiments use neutrino beams from accelerators or reactors; observe energy spectrum of neutrinos at a distant detector.
- At fixed L, observation of the values of  $E_{\nu}$  at which minima/maxima are seen determines  $\Delta m^2$ , while depth of minima determine  $\sin^2 2\theta$ .
- Note all these experiments only tell us about mass **differences**.
- Best constraint on absolute mass comes from the end point in Tritium  $\beta$ -decay,  $m(\nu_e) < 2 \text{ eV}$ .

```
Prof. Alex Mitov
```

12. Beyond the Standard Model

16

### Three-flavour oscillations



# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

A significant problem is to explain why the Higgs boson is so light.

 The effect of loop corrections on the Higgs mass should be to drag it up to the highest energy scale in the problem (i.e. unification, or Planck mass).



18

- One attractive solution is to introduce a new space-time symmetry, "supersymmetry" which links fermions and bosons (the only way to extend the Poincaré symmetry of special relativity and respect quantum field theory.)
- Each fermion has a boson partner, and vice versa, with the same couplings. Boson and fermion loops contribute with opposite sign, giving a natural cancellation in their effect on the Higgs mass. f



- Must be a broken symmetry, because we clearly don't see bosons and fermions of the same mass.
- However, this doubles the particle content of the model, without any direct evidence (yet), and introduces lots of new unknown parameters.



12. Beyond the Standard Model

### The Supersymmetric Standard Model



# SUSY and Unification

- In the Standard Model, the interaction strengths are not quite unified at very high energy.
- Add SUSY, the running of the couplings is modified, because sparticle loops contribute as well as particle loops.
- Details depend on the version of SUSY, but in general unification much



### SUSY and cosmology

- SUSY, or any unified theory, tends to have potential problems with explaining the non-observation of proton decay.
- For this reason, many versions of SUSY introduce a conserved quantity "*R*-parity", which means that sparticles have to be produced in pairs.
- A consequence is that the lightest sparticle would have to be stable. In many scenarios this would be a "neutralino"  $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$  (a mixture of neutral "gauginos" and "Higgsinos").
- Cosmologists tell us that  $\sim 25\%$  of the mass in the universe is in the form of "dark matter", which interacts gravitationally, but otherwise only weakly.
- The lightest sparticle could be a candidate for the "WIMPs" (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles) which could comprise dark matter.
- So there are several different reasons why SUSY is attractive.



### However, no sign of supersymmetry yet...

On general grounds, some sparticles ought to be seen at energies around 1 TeV or lower. So LHC ought to be able to see them, especially squarks+gluinos (high  $\sigma$  @LHC). ATLAS SUSY Searches\* - 95% CL Lower Limits ATLAS Preliminary of a 13 TeV Reference of the set of the set



### Signs of anything else?

### $K^+$ $B^+$ $W^+$ $\overline{s}$ BaBar $0.1 < q^2 < 8.12 \text{ GeV}^2$ Belle $1.0 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ LHCb 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> $1.0 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ LHCb 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ LHCb 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> $1.1 < q^2 < 6.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ 0.5 1.5 R<sub>K</sub>

LHCb Flavour Anomalies

(non-examinable)

Lepton universality in SM predicts  $R = \frac{\mu\mu}{ee} = 1$ 

Test using rare decays of B mesons

- easy to see deviations from small values
- precise theory predictions

 $R_{K} = 0.85 \pm 0.04(stat.) \pm 0.01(syst.)$ 

3 standard deviations from prediction. Evidence of something new!

5 std.dev is gold standard for discovery.

Similar effects seen in several rare decay modes.

This might be the first glimpse of new particles affecting decay rates, e.g. Leptoquarks



Prof. Alex Mitov

# Signs of anything else?

(non-examinable)

Muon g-2 Anomaly



### Follow the results from LHC yourself!

To date (2024) LHC has taken only  ${\sim}5\%$  of its planned total dataset. Stay tuned!!

http://atlas.ch http://cms.web.cern.ch http://lhcb-public.web.cern.ch/lhcb-public/

### Summary

- Over the past 50 years our understanding of the fundamental particles and forces of nature has changed beyond recognition.
- The Standard Model of particle physics is an enormous success. It has been tested to very high precision and can model almost all experimental observations so far.
- The Higgs "hole" is now becoming closed, though some other aspects of the SM are not quite yet under as much experimental "control" as one might wish for (the neutrino sector, the CKM matrix, etc).
- Good reasons to expect that the next few years will bring many more (un)expected surprises (more Higgs or gauge bosons, SUSY?).

