
Prof. Alex Mitov, 2026



H8: Quantum Chromodynamics

The Local Gauge Principle

(see Appendices XI, XII, XV and XVI for more non-examinable details)

- All the interactions between fermions and spin-1 bosons in the SM are specified by the principle of LOCAL GAUGE INVARIANCE.
- To arrive at QED, we **require** physics to be invariant under the local phase transformation of particle wave-functions:

$$\psi \to \psi' = e^{iq\chi(x)}\psi.$$

- Note that the change of phase depends on the space-time coordinate: $\chi(t, \vec{x})$.

Under this transformation the Dirac Equation transforms as

$$\boxed{i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\psi = 0} \longrightarrow \boxed{i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + iq\partial_\mu \chi\right)\psi - m\psi = 0}.$$

The above is is bad news! We want everything physical (and thus the Dirac equation too) to be invariant under local gauge transformations.

- We are FORCED to introduce a massless gauge boson, A_μ, and the Dirac equation has to be modified to include this new field:

$$\boxed{i\gamma^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + iqA_\mu\right)\psi - m\psi = 0}.$$

- The modified Dirac equation is invariant under local phase transformations if:

$$\boxed{A_\mu \to A'_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu \chi}.$$

The Local Gauge Group ($U(1)$ for QED) specifies the Gauge Boson Interactions and thus the Feynman Rules

Thus the principle of invariance under local phase transformations completely specifies the interaction between a fermion and the gauge boson (in this case the photon) once the gauge group is chosen.

For example, is from the iqA_μ term (which was created to keep everything gauge invariant) that the $ie\gamma^\mu$ vertex factor of QED's Feynman rules can be derived.

The local phase transformation of QED is a unitary $U(1)$ transformation:

$$\psi \to \psi' = \hat{U}\psi \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \psi \to \psi' = e^{iq\chi(x)}\psi \quad \text{with} \quad U^\dagger U = 1$$

We will now extend this idea ...

From QED ($U(1)$) to QCD ($SU(3)$-colour)

- Suppose there is another fundamental symmetry of the universe, say 'invariance under SU(3) local phase transformations'
- i.e. require invariance under $\boxed{\psi \to \psi' = e^{ig\vec{\lambda}\cdot\vec{\theta}(x)}\psi}$ where $\vec{\lambda}$ are the eight 3×3 Gell-Mann matrices introduced in Handout 7, and where $\vec{\theta}(x)$ are 8 functions taking different values at each point in space-time.
- **Unavoidably**, the wave function is now a vector in colour space: $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}$.

The QCD Lagrangian is created by requiring invariance under local $SU(3)$ gauge tfm.

From that Lagrangian, the Feynman Rules and all other properties may be derived:

- the interaction vertex is: $-\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu$,
- there are 8 massless gauge bosons – the gluons – one for each λ, and
- there are 3 and 4 gluon vertices but no others. (The details are beyond the level of this course. See Gauge Field Theory course in Lent!)


ASIDE: Our $SU(3)$-colour gauge tfm rotates states in colour space about and angle and an axis which is different at every space-time point. Why might this be desirable in a theory with multiple observers?

Colour in QCD

The theory of the strong interaction, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), is very similar to QED but with three conserved 'colour' charges.


In QED:

- the electron carries one unit of charge $-e$,
- the anti-electron carries one unit of anti-charge $+e$,
- the force is mediated by a massless "gauge boson" – the photon.



In QCD:

- quarks carry colour charge: r, g, b,
- anti-quarks carry anti-charge: $\bar{r}, \bar{g}, \bar{b}$,
- The force is mediated by massless gluons.



The strong interaction is invariant under rotations in colour space

$$r \leftrightarrow b; r \leftrightarrow g; b \longleftrightarrow g.$$

$SU(3)$-colour symmetry is exact

This $SU(3)$-colour symmstry is an exact symmetry, unlike the approximate *uds* $SU(3)$-flavour symmetry discussed previously.


Represent $SU(3)$ colour states r, g, b by:

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Analogous to labelling u, d, s flavour states by I_3 and Y, Colour states can be labelled by two quantum numbers:

- I_3^c colour isospin, and
- Y^c colour hypercharge.

Each quark (anti-quark) can have the following colour quantum numbers:




The Colour Confinement Hypothesis

The Colour Confinement Hypothesis is that 'all free particles have colour singlet wavefunctions'.

- Sometimes abbreviated to 'all free particles are colourless'.
- It is suspected that one day this hypothesis will be shown to be derivable from the other principles of the Standard Model.
- If true, then we will never observe free quarks.

We can re-interpret our $SU(3)$ flavour results via an $SU(3)$ colour lens – $(u, d, s) \to (r, g, b)$, but this time we can treat the symmetry as exact rather than approximate!


Just as for *uds* flavour symmetry can define colour ladder operators:



Colour Singlets

Reminder of what a colour singlet is:

- colour singlet states have zero colour quantum numbers $I_3^c = 0, Y^c = 0$,
- colour singlet states are invariant under SU(3) colour transformations, and
- all ladder operators $T_\pm, U_\pm, V_\pm$ yield zero when applied to a colour singlet state.




It is not sufficient to have just $I_3^c = 0, Y^c = 0$.

This alone does not signal a colour singlet state.

Meson (i.e. $q\bar{q}$) Colour Wave-functions

The combination of colour with anti-colour is mathematically identical to construction of meson wave-functions with *uds* flavour symmetry.



i.e. we get a COLOURED OCTET and a COLOURLESS SINGLET.

- Colour confinement implies that hadrons only exist in colour singlet states so the colour singlet wave-function for mesons is:


$$\boxed{\psi_c^{q\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(r\bar{r} + g\bar{g} + b\bar{b})}.$$

$qq\bar{q}$ bound states do not exist in nature . . .

. . . because there are no $qq\bar{q}$ state with $Y^c = 0; I_3^c = 0$, let alone a colour singlet!


Baryon Colour Wave-Function

- Do qq bound states exist? This is equivalent to asking whether it possible to form a colour SINGLET from two colour TRIPLETs.
- Following the discussion of construction of baryon wave-functions in $SU(3)$-flavour symmetry obtain



- No qq colour SINGLET state!
- Colour confinement $\Longrightarrow$ bound states of qq do not exist!

BUT: combination of three quarks (three colour TRIPLETs) gives a colour SINGLET state (pages 214-216).



The COLOUR SINGLET wave-function is:

$$\boxed{\psi_c^{qqq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(rgb - rbg + gbr - grb + brg - bgr)}.$$

Make absolutely sure that this is a COLOUR SINGLET:

- It has $I_3^c = 0, Y^c = 0$: a necessary but not sufficient condition.
- Apply ladder operators, e.g. T_+ (recall $T_+ g = r$)

$$T_+\psi_c^{qqq} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(rrb - rbr + rbr - rrb + brr - brr) = 0.$$

- Similarly $\quad T_-\psi_c^{qqq} = 0; \quad V_\pm\psi_c^{qqq} = 0; \quad U_\pm\psi_c^{qqq} = 0.$

ϕ_c^{qqq} definitely is a colourless singlet!

- qqq bound states can exist, and
- The qqq colour singlet wave-function is anti-symmetric.


The possible hadrons (i.e. the possible colour singlet states) are therefore:

- $q\bar{q}, qqq$: **Mesons** and **Baryons**
- $q\bar{q}q\bar{q}, qqqq\bar{q}, \ldots$: i.e. **Tetraquarks**, **Pentaquarks**, ...

Until 2015, all discovered hadrons had been mesons or baryons. The first pentaquarks were discovered in 2015, 2019 and 2022 by LHCb. Tetraquarks have also since been found.

Gluons


* In QCD quarks interact by exchanging virtual massless gluons, e.g.:



- Gluons carry colour and anti-colour, e.g.:




$\star$ Gluon colour wave-functions (colour + anti-colour) are the same as those obtained for mesons (also colour + anti-colour) where we saw an OCTET and a COLOURLESS SINGLET:




Gluon-Gluon Interactions

- In QED the photon does not carry the charge of the EM interaction (photons are electrically neutral).
- In contrast, in QCD the gluons do carry colour charge $\Longrightarrow$ Gluon Self-Interactions!
- Two new vertices (no QED analogues):

triple-gluon vertex








quartic-gluon vertex


- In addition to quark-quark scattering, therefore can have gluon-gluon scattering:

e.g. possible way of arranging the colour flow:











Gluon self-Interactions and Confinement

- Gluon self-interactions are believed to give rise to colour confinement.
- Unlike QED, in QCD gluon self-interactions squeeze lines of force into a 'flux tube'.



- What happens when try to separate two coloured objects e.g. $q\bar{q}$?



- Form a flux tube of interacting gluons of approximately constant energy density $\lambda \sim 1\mathrm{GeV/fm} \sim 160$ kN.

$$\Rightarrow V(r) \sim \lambda r$$

- Require infinite energy to separate coloured objects to infinity.
- Coloured quarks and gluons are always confined within colourless states.
- In this way QCD provides a plausible explanation of confinement - but not yet proven (although there has been recent progress with Lattice QCD).


Hadronisation and Jets

Consider a quark and anti-quark produced in electron positron annihilation:

i) Initially Quarks separate at high velocity

ii) Colour flux tube forms between quarks


iii) Energy stored in the flux tube sufficient to produce $q\bar{q}$ pairs



iv) Process continues until quarks pair up into jets of colourless hadrons




- This process is called hadronisation. It is not (yet) calculable (first principles).
- The main consequence is that at collider experiments quarks and gluons observed as jets of particles.



QCD and Colour in e^+e^- Collisions

e^+e^--colliders are an excellent place to study QCD:




- QED process well-understood.
- No need to know parton structure functions.
- Experimentally very clean - no proton remnants.

⋆ In Handout 5 obtained expressions for the $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ cross-section:

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4s}\left(1+\cos^2\theta\right)$$


- In e^+e^- collisions produce all quark flavours for which $\sqrt{s} > 2m_q$
- In general, i.e. unless producing a $q\bar{q}$ bound state, produce jets of hadrons.

  Usually can't tell which jet came from the quark and came from anti-quark.



- Angular distribution of jets $\propto \left(1+\cos^2\theta\right) \implies$

  Quarks are spin-$\frac{1}{2}$.



H.J.Behrend et al., Phys Lett 183B (1987) 400

- Colour is conserved and quarks are produced as $r\bar{r}, g\bar{g}, b\bar{b}$.
- For a single quark flavour and single colour:


$$\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to q_i\bar{q}_i\right) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}Q_q^2.$$

- Experimentally observe jets of hadrons: (factor 3 is from colour!!)

$$\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \text{ hadrons }\right) = 3\sum_{u,d,s,\ldots}\frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}Q_q^2.$$

- Usual to express as ratio compared to $\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\right)$:

$$R_\mu = \frac{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \text{ hadrons }\right)}{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-\right)} = 3\sum_{u,d,s,\ldots}Q_q^2$$



u,d,s: $R_\mu = 3 \times \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9}\right) = 2$

u,d,s,c: $R_\mu = \frac{10}{3}$


u,d,s,c,b: $R_\mu = \frac{11}{3}$

- Data are consistent with expectation provided there is a factor 3 from colour.


Jet production in e+e-Collisions


e^+e^--colliders are also a good place to study gluons.

$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q} \to$ 2jets





$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}g \to$ 3jets





$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}gg \to$ 4jets





Experimentally:

- Three jet rate $\to$ measurement of α_s.
- Angular distributions $\Rightarrow$ gluons are spin-1.
- Four-jet rate and distributions $\to$ QCD has an underlying $SU(3)$ symmetry.


The Quark-Gluon Interaction

- The colour part of the fermion wave-functions are represented by:

$$r = c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Particle wave-functions $u(p) \longrightarrow c_i u(p)$.
- The QCD qqg vertex is written:

$$\bar{u}(p_3)\, c_j^\dagger \left\{ -\tfrac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_i\, u(p_1)$$



- Only difference w.r.t. QED is the insertion of the 3×3 SU(3) Gell-Mann matrices.
- Isolating the colour part:

$$c_j^\dagger \lambda^a c_i = c_j^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^a_{1i} \\ \lambda^a_{2i} \\ \lambda^a_{3i} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^a_{ji}$$

- Hence the fundamental quark-gluon QCD interaction can be written:

$$\bar{u}(p_3)\, c_j^\dagger \left\{ -\tfrac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_i\, u(p_1) \equiv \boxed{\bar{u}(p_3) \left\{ -\tfrac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a_{ji} \gamma^\mu \right\} u(p_1)}.$$

Feynman Rules for QCD


- **External Lines**

spin 1/2	incoming quark	$u(p)$	
	outgoing quark	$\bar{u}(p)$	
	incoming anti-quark	$\bar{v}(p)$	
	outgoing anti-quark	$v(p)$	
spin 1	incoming gluon	$\varepsilon^{\mu}(p)$	
	outgoing gluon	$\varepsilon^{\mu}(p)^*$	

- **Internal Lines (propagators)**

spin 1 gluon

$$\frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2}\delta^{ab}$$




a, b = 1,2,…,8 are gluon colour indices

- **Vertex Factors**

spin 1/2 quark

$$-ig_s\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda^a_{ji}\gamma^{\mu}$$




i, j = 1,2,3 are quark colours,

λ^a a = 1,2,..8 are the Gell-Mann SU(3) matrices

- **+ 3 gluon and 4 gluon interaction vertices**
- **Matrix Element** $-iM$ **= product of all factors**

Matrix Element for *ud* quark-quark scattering



- The incoming and out-going quark colours are labelled by $i, j, k, l = \{1, 2, 3\}$ (or $\{r, g, b\}$)
- In terms of colour this scattering is

$$ik \to jl.$$

- The 8 different gluons are accounted for by the colour indices $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$.
- NOTE: the Kronecker δ in the propagator ensures $a = b$, i.e. the gluon "emitted" at a is the same as that "absorbed" at b.

- Applying the Feynman rules:

$$-iM = \left[\bar{u}_u(p_3)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a_{ji}\gamma^\mu\right\}u_u(p_1)\right]\frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2}\delta^{ab}\left[\bar{u}_d(p_4)\left\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^b_{lk}\gamma^\nu\right\}u_d(p_2)\right]$$

where summation over a and b (and μ and ν) is implied.

- Summing over a and b using the Kronecker δ gives:


$$M = -\frac{g_s^2}{4}\lambda^a_{ji}\lambda^a_{lk}\frac{1}{q^2}g_{\mu\nu}\left[\bar{u}_u(p_3)\gamma^\mu u_u(p_1)\right]\left[\bar{u}_d(p_4)\gamma^\nu u_d(p_2)\right].$$

QCD vs QED

QED


$$-iM = [\bar{u}(p_3) ie\gamma^\mu u(p_1)] \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{q^2} [\bar{u}(p_4) ie\gamma^\nu u(p_2)]$$

$$M = -e^2 \frac{1}{q^2} g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^\mu u(p_1)][\bar{u}(p_4)\gamma^\nu u(p_2)]$$



QCD

$$M = -\frac{g_s^2}{4} \lambda^a_{ji} \lambda^a_{lk} \frac{1}{q^2} g_{\mu\nu} [\bar{u}_u(p_3)\gamma^\mu u_u(p_1)][\bar{u}_d(p_4)\gamma^\nu u_d(p_2)]$$



★ **QCD Matrix Element = QED Matrix Element with:**

- $e^2 \to g_s^2$ or equivalently $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \to \alpha_s = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi}$

✚ **QCD Matrix Element includes an additional "colour factor"**


$$C(ik \to jl) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda^a_{ji} \lambda^a_{lk}$$

Evaluation of QCD Colour Factors

- **QCD colour factors reflect the gluon states that are involved**

$\lambda^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\lambda^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\lambda^8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$
Gluons: $r\bar{g}, g\bar{r}$	$r\bar{b}, b\bar{r}$	$g\bar{b}, b\bar{g}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r\bar{r} - g\bar{g})$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(r\bar{r} + g\bar{g} - 2b\bar{b})$

❶ Configurations involving a single colour




- **Only matrices with non-zero entries in 11 position are involved**

$$C(rr \to rr) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda^a_{11}\lambda^a_{11} = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda^3_{11}\lambda^3_{11} + \lambda^8_{11}\lambda^8_{11})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Similarly find $C(rr \to rr) = C(gg \to gg) = C(bb \to bb) = \frac{1}{3}$

❷ Other configurations where quarks don't change colour e.g. $rb \to rb$




- Only matrices with non-zero entries in **11** and **33** position are involved

$$C(rb \to rb) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda_{11}^{a}\lambda_{33}^{a} = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_{11}^{8}\lambda_{33}^{8})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.\frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}\right) = -\frac{1}{6}$$

Similarly $C(rb \to rb) = C(rg \to rg) = C(gr \to gr) = C(gb \to gb) = C(br \to br) = C(bg \to bg) = -\frac{1}{6}$

❸ Configurations where quarks swap colours e.g. $rg \to gr$



- Only matrices with non-zero entries in **12** and **21** position are involved

Gluons $r\bar{g}$, $g\bar{r}$


$$C(rg \to gr) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda_{21}^{a}\lambda_{12}^{a} = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_{21}^{1}\lambda_{12}^{1} + \lambda_{21}^{2}\lambda_{12}^{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(i(-i)+1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$\hat{T}_{+}^{(ij)}\hat{T}_{-}^{(kl)}$

$$C(rb \to br) = C(rg \to gr) = C(gr \to rg) = C(gb \to bg) = C(br \to rb) = C(bg \to gb) = \frac{1}{2}$$

❹ Configurations involving 3 colours e.g. $rb \to bg$



- Only matrices with non-zero entries in the **13** and **32** position
- But none of the λ matrices have non-zero entries in the **13** and **32** positions. Hence the colour factor is zero


★ **colour is conserved**

Colour Factors : Quarks vs Anti-Quarks

- The QCD qqg vertex was written

$$\bar{u}(p_3)\, c_j^\dagger \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_i\, u(p_1)$$

$$r = c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad g = c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad b = c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



- Now consider the anti-quark vertex $\bar{q}\bar{q}g$:

$$\bar{v}(p_1)\, c_i^\dagger \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_j\, v(p_3)$$


Note that the incoming anti-particle now enters on the LHS of the expression!

The colour part is $c_i^\dagger \lambda^a c_j = c_i^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^a_{1j} \\ \lambda^a_{2j} \\ \lambda^a_{3j} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^a_{ij}$ i.e. indices ij are swapped with respect to the quark case.

- Hence $\boxed{\bar{v}(p_1)\, c_i^\dagger \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_j\, v(p_3) \equiv \bar{v}(p_1) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a_{ij} \gamma^\mu \right\} v(p_3)}$.
- Compare with the quark-gluon QCD interaction:

$$\bar{u}(p_3)\, c_j^\dagger \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a \gamma^\mu \right\} c_i\, u(p_1) \equiv \bar{u}(p_3) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} i g_s \lambda^a_{ji} \gamma^\mu \right\} u(p_1)$$

Finally we can consider the quark - anti-quark annihilation vertex:




QCD vertex: $\bar{v}(p_2)c_k^\dagger\{-\frac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^\mu\}c_i u(p_1)$

with $c_k^\dagger\lambda^a c_i = \lambda^a_{ki}$


$$\bar{v}(p_2)c_k^\dagger\{-\tfrac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a\gamma^\mu\}c_i u(p_1) \equiv \bar{v}(p_2)\{-\tfrac{1}{2}ig_s\lambda^a_{ki}\gamma^\mu\}u(p_1)$$

- Consequently the colour factors for the different diagrams are:




$$C(ik \to jl) \equiv \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda^a_{ji}\lambda^a_{lk}$$

e.g. $C(rr \to rr) = \frac{1}{3}$, $C(rg \to rg) = -\frac{1}{6}$, $C(rg \to gr) = \frac{1}{2}$



$$C(i\bar{k} \to j\bar{l}) \equiv \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda^a_{ji}\lambda^a_{kl}$$

e.g. $C(r\bar{r} \to r\bar{r}) = \frac{1}{3}$, $C(r\bar{g} \to r\bar{g}) = -\frac{1}{6}$, $C(r\bar{r} \to g\bar{g}) = \frac{1}{2}$




$$C(i\bar{k} \to j\bar{l}) \equiv \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a=1}^{8} \lambda^a_{ki}\lambda^a_{jl}$$

e.g. $C(r\bar{r} \to r\bar{r}) = \frac{1}{3}$, $C(r\bar{g} \to r\bar{g}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $C(r\bar{r} \to g\bar{g}) = -\frac{1}{6}$

Colour index of adjoint spinor comes first.

Quark-Quark Scattering

- Consider the process $u + d \to u + d$ which can occur in the high energy proton-proton scattering
- There are nine possible colour configurations of the colliding quarks which are all equally likely.
- Need to determine the average matrix element which is the sum over all possible colours divided by the number of possible initial colour states



$$\left\langle |M_{fi}|^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{3} |M_{fi}(ij \to kl)|^2$$

- The colour average matrix element contains the average colour factor

$$\left\langle |C|^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{9} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{3} |C(ij \to kl)|^2$$

- For $qq \to qq$

$$\left\langle |C|^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{9} \left[3 \times \underset{rr \to rr, \ldots}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2} + 6 \times \underset{rb \to rb, \ldots}{\left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^2} + 6 \times \underset{rb \to br, \ldots}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \right] = \frac{2}{9}$$

• Previously derived the Lorentz Invariant cross section for $e^- \mu^- \to e^- \mu^-$ elastic scattering in the ultra-relativistic limit (Handout 6).

QED

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{q^4}\left[1 + \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{s}\right)^2\right]$$

• For ud $\to$ ud in QCD replace $\alpha \to \alpha_s$ and multiply by $\langle |C|^2 \rangle$


QCD

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} = \frac{2}{9}\frac{2\pi\alpha_s^2}{q^4}\left[1 + \left(1 + \frac{q^2}{\hat{s}}\right)^2\right]$$

Never see colour, but enters through colour factors. Can tell QCD is $SU(3)$.

- Here $\hat{S}$ is the centre-of-mass energy of the quark-quark collision

-The calculation of hadron-hadron scattering is very involved, need to include parton structure functions and include all possible interactions
e.g. two jet production in proton-antiproton collisions




e.g. $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron

⋆ Tevatron collider at Fermi National Laboratory (FNAL)

- located $\sim$ 40 miles from Chigaco, US
- started operation in 1987 (ran until 2010)

⋆$\mathrm{p\overline{p}}$ collisions at $\sqrt{\mathrm{s}} = 1.8\ \mathrm{TeV}$ c.f. $14\ \mathrm{TeV}$ at the LHC



Two main accelerators:

⋆ Main Injector


- Accelerated $8\mathrm{GeV}$ p to $120\mathrm{GeV}$
- also $\bar{p}$ to $120\mathrm{GeV}$
- Protons sent to Tevatron & MINOS
- $\bar{p}$ all went to Tevatron


⋆ Tevatron


- 4 mile circumference
- accelerated $p/\bar{p}$ from $120\mathrm{GeV}$ to $900\mathrm{GeV}$

Can test QCD predictions by looking at production of pairs of high energy jets

$p\bar{p} \to$ jet jet $+X$:








★ **Measure cross-section in terms of**

- **"transverse energy"** $E_T = E_{\text{jet}} \sin\theta$
- **"pseudorapidity"** $\eta = \ln\left[\cot\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right]$

…don't worry too much about the details here, what matters is that…



★ **QCD predictions provide an excellent description of the data**


★ **NOTE:**

- **at low E_T cross-section is dominated by low x partons**
  i.e. gluon-gluon scattering
- **at high E_T cross-section is dominated by high x partons**
  i.e. quark-antiquark scattering


Running Coupling Constants

QED

- "bare" charge of electron screened by virtual e^+e^- pairs
- behaves like a polarizable dielectric



★ In terms of Feynman diagrams:




⋆ Same final state so add matrix element amplitudes: $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + \ldots$


⋆ Giving an infinite series which can be summed and is equivalent to a single diagram with "running" coupling constant


$$\alpha\left(Q^2\right) = \alpha\left(Q_0^2\right) / \left[1 - \frac{\alpha\left(Q_0^2\right)}{3\pi} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}\right)\right]$$

for $Q^2 \gg Q_0^2$.

to







Might worry that coupling becomes infinite at

$$\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}\right) = \frac{3\pi}{1/137}$$

i.e. at

$$Q \sim 10^{26}\ \mathrm{GeV}.$$

- But quantum gravity effects would come in way below this energy and it is highly unlikely that QED 'as is' would be valid in this regime.

In QED, running coupling increases very slowly:

- Atomic physics: $Q^2 \sim 0$


$$1/\alpha = 137.03599976(50)$$

- High energy physics: (e.g. Opal at 193 GeV):

$$1/\alpha = 127.4 \pm 2.1$$

Running of α_s

QCD Similar to QED but also have gluon loops



- Remembering adding amplitudes, so can get negative interference and the sum can be smaller than the original diagram alone.
- Bosonic loops 'interfere negatively':

$$\alpha_S\left(Q^2\right) = \alpha_S\left(Q_0^2\right) / \left[1 + B\alpha_S\left(Q_0^2\right) \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2}\right)\right]$$

$$\text{with} \quad B = \frac{11N_c - 2N_f}{12\pi} \quad \begin{cases} N_c = \text{no. of colours} \\ N_f = \text{no. of quark flavours} \end{cases}$$


$$N_c = 3; N_f = 6 \implies B > 0 \quad \text{so}$$

α_s decreases with Q^2. [Nobel Prize 2004, Gross, Politzer, Wilczek.]

Measure α_s in many ways:

- jet rates
- DIS
- tau decays
- bottomonium decays
- ...

As predicted by QCD, α_s decreases with Q^2.



$\mu \approx Q$

At low Q^2 : α_s is large, e.g. at $Q^2 = 1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$ find $\alpha_s \sim 1$.

- Can't use perturbation theory! This is the reason why QCD calculations at low energies are so difficult, e.g. properties hadrons, hadronisation of quarks to jets.

At high Q^2 : α_s is rather small, e.g. at $Q^2 = M_Z^2$ find $\alpha_s \sim 0.12$.

- Can use perturbation theory and this is the reason that in DIS at high Q^2 quarks behave as if they are quasi-free (i.e. only weakly bound within hadrons).

Summary

- Superficially QCD is very similar to QED.
- Gluon self-interactions are believed to result in colour confinement.
- All hadrons are colour singlets which explains why only observe Mesons and Baryons in certain specific flavour combinations.
- At low energies $\alpha_S \sim 1$ so can't use perturbation theory!
- Coupling constant 'runs' becoming smaller at higher energy scales ('Asymptotic Freedom'):

$$\alpha_S(100\ \mathrm{GeV}) \sim 0.1\ .$$

  so at higher energies we can use perturbation theory.
- Where calculations can be performed, QCD provides a good description of relevant experimental data.